erick nugraha <nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id>
Kepada: Miklos Botha, Mag Ioan Valentin

Jum, 28 Agu 2020 jam 09.21

Dear Mr. Miklos Botha and Mag Ioan

I will submit our next journal with theme :

Comparison of the Sweep Method in Fishing with *Gilltong* in South Sorong Waters, West Papua, Indonesia

thank You.

Best Regard.

Unduh semua lampiran sebagai file zip

Bioflux - Gi....docx
1.4MB

Submission l....pdf
120.4kB

Comparison of the Sweep Method in Fishing with *Gilltong* in South Sorong Waters, West Papua, Indonesia

[1]Erick Nugraha, [2]Qinthara Despriawan, [3]Agus Jaenudin, [1]Suharto, [1]Bongbongan Kusmedy, [1]Syarif Syamsudin, [1]Afriana Kusdinar, [1]Dedy H Sutisna, [1]Danu Sudrajat, [4]Yuli Purwanto

[1]Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries, Jl AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia. [2]Student of Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries, Jl AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia. [3]Capture Fisheries Specialist. WWF-Indonesia Jl. Pemuda 1 No. 2 Renon, Denpasar. [4]Faculty of Capture Fisheries, Bitung Marine and Fisheries Polytechnic, North Sulawesi, Indonesia. Corresponding author: Erick Nugraha, nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id

Abstract. Gilltong *(bag gillnet/gillnet kantong)* is a net consisting of one layer of netting with a net length of 22.4 m and a depth of 2.6 m, a mesh size of 1.75 inches and the outside of the net is a cube-shaped mesh frame with a pocket size of 50 cm x 50 cm which serves to catch the catch. This fishing tool is called *gilltong*, because of the shape of the body of the net which addresses the arrangement of bags vertically or horizontally when operated (Puspito, 2009). Gillnets can be operated more intensively in the western season, usually from December to March, when sea conditions are calm (Sadhori, 1985). This research was conducted on a 1 GT *gilltong* operating in South Sorong waters. The data analysis method used is descriptive analysis method and quantitative analysis method. In the trial of the *gilltong* fishing gear, six antimical methods were used, namely: (1) the anger method of sweeping 1/4 turn (90^0), (2) the anger method of sweeping 1/2 turn (180^0), (3) the anger method of sweeping 3/4 turns (270^0), (4) 1 full turn (360^0) litter method, (5) towing method using 2 boats, and (6) Beach Seine feeding method. Based on trials, the effectiveness can be based on: Based on 9 trials, the Beach Seine system method which is pulled by using 2 boats is the most effective because it produces more fish. The catch obtained in the Gill Net bag trial is that there are several types of fish which are divided into main catch fish and by-catch fish. For the type of catch, the main got no results. For bycatch, a total of 4.147 grams of Sin croaker (*Johnius dussumieri*) was obtained, 915 grams of Brushtooth lizardfish (*Saurida undosquamis*), 19.767 grams of Gray eel-catfish (Plotosus canius), 4.661 grams of long tongue sol (*Cynoglossus lingua*), Savalai hairtail (*Lepturacanthus savala*) as much as 235 grams, Chacunda ampela shad (*Dorosoma chacunda*) 3.260 grams, Fringescale sardinella (*Sardinella fimbriata*) 1.041 grams.

Keywords: Pocket Gillnet, metode beach seine, bycatch, wpp 715

Introduction. Noija et al. (2008) stated that Indonesia has tropical waters which are rich in fish species diversity. South Sorong Regency is one of the areas where penaeid shrimp is produced from catch in the sea (Sorong Fishery Academy, 2004). Shrimp is an important fishery commodity in South Sorong and produces production at the provincial level and even Fisheries Management Area (FMA). The types of shrimp found in this area are jerbung shrimp, dogol prawns, and giant prawns (USAID SEA Project, 2017). The main catch of bagged gillnet is shrimp, while bycatch other than shrimp is demersal fish such as pufferfish, bilis, tongue fish and sharks. Several types of shrimp caught are fan shrimp, white shrimp and krosok shrimp (Dahuri, 2010).

According to Nikijuluw, 2002. Fish resource is a resource that can be recovered (renewable) which means that it is left behind and has the ability to regenerate itself by reproducing. The large potential of shrimp resources in the waters of South Sorong Regency is a great opportunity for resource users to maximize utilization in these waters, but it must be in accordance with the characteristics of the waters and have the basic principles of sustainable use (Gunaisah, 2008).

Gilltong is a net consisting of one layer of nets with a net length of 22.4 m and a depth of 2.6 m, a mesh size of 1.75 inches and the outside of the net is a cube-shaped net frame with a pocket size of 50 cm x 50 cm which functions to accommodate the catch. Pala and Yuksel (2010) explain that the size of the gill mesh has a significant effect on the efficiency and composition of the catch. Ahrenholz and Smith (2010) suggest that inappropriate shortening can affect the amount of catch. The outer frame of the net serves to form a codend when the net is operated by blocking the current so that the fish are caught and enter the codend. It is called a bag net, because the shape of the net body resembles the arrangement of bags vertically or horizontally when operated (Puspito, 2009).

Gillnets can be operated more intensively in the western season, usually from December to March, when sea conditions are calm (Sadhori, 1985). Naamin and Uktolsedja (1976) added that shrimp like areas where there is a mixture of river and sea water, because in this area there is a lot of food and nutrients needed by shrimp. Nontji (2007) explained that demersal fish have a habitat on the bottom of the water.



Figure 1. Illustration of Trammel net operation (Sadhori, 1985)

Material and Method

Description of the study sites. The *gilltong* research was carried out from November 2019 to February 2020 by participating in fishing operations in Sorong waters, West Papua Province.



Figure 2. Fishing ground for *gilltong* in Sorong waters.

Metode Analisis Data

The data analysis method used is descriptive analysis method and quantitative analysis method. In the descriptive analysis method, it is direct observation data obtained from the ship which includes the fishing area, fishing gear operation and catches.

In the quantitative analysis method, by calculating using percentage calculations. As for calculating the percentage of catch according to (Sudirman, et al. 2011), in identifying the composition of the catch, the fish caught and the amount caught are tabulated and classified which then presents the catch data that has been obtained using the following equation:

$$\text{Fish Compositions} = \frac{\sum catch\ per\ spesies}{Total\ Catching} \times 100\%$$

Gilltong ship

The research was conducted on board *gilltong* of size 1 GT operating in the waters of South Sorong.

Figure 3. *Gilltong* fishing boat

Result.

Gilltong Operation Technique

In the trial of the *gilltong* fishing gear, six operating methods were used, including:

1) Operation method of 1/4 turn sweeping (90^0)
2) Operation method of sweeping 1/2 turn (180^0)
3) Operation method of Sweeping 3/4 turn (270^0)
4) Method of operation of sweeping 1 full turn (360^0)
5) The method of operation is towed using 2 boats.
6) Beach Seine operation method

1. Operation method of sweeping 1/4 turn (90^0)

The *gilltong* that will be lowered is assembled first by connecting to the upper and lower rises, the nets are installed alternately between net 1, net 2 and net 3, alternating with 3 repetitions.



Figure 4. Sweeping Quarter turn of 90^0

Composition of the Catch of the Sweeping Method 1/4 turn (90^0)

The trial was carried out by the sweeping method 1/4 turn (90^0) with 3 repetitions with different positions. The number of *gilltong* used is 8 pieces. The total catch of this first trial is:

Table 1

Composition of the First Trial Catch

No	Name of Fish	Scientific name	Amount (fish)	Weight (gram)	Percentage (%)
1	Sin croaker	*Johnius dussumieri*	3	128	12,50
2	Long tongue sole	*Cynoglossus lingua*	7	518	29,17
3	Banana prawn	*Peneus merguiensis*	0	0	0,00
4	Gray eel-catfish	*Plotosus canius*	8	1.750	33,33
5	Fringescale sardinella	*Sardinella fimbriata*	6	99	25,00
	Total		24	2.495	100

Based on Table 1, the number of types of catch obtained in the first trial was dominated by *Plotosus canius* as much as 33% of the total catch. The percentage of catches in the first trial can be seen in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Percentage of Fish Catching in the First Trial

1. Operating Method of 1/2 Round Sweeping (180^0)

The operation of a 1/2 circle or 1/2 circle *gilltong* sweeping is a continuation of the 1/4 circle operation as shown in Figure 6 below:



Figure 6. Sweeping 1/2 Round 180^0

Composition of Catch Sweeping Method 1/2 turn (180^0)

The trial was carried out by the sweeping 1/2 turn (180°) method with 2 repetitions at different positions in Seremuk Waters. The number of *gilltong* used is 4 pieces. The number of catches is 29 individuals with the composition of the catch in the second trial as follows:

Table 2

Composition of the Second Trial Catch

No	Name of Fish	Scientific name	Amount (fish)	Weight (gram)	Percentage (%)
1	Sin croaker	*Johnius dussumieri*	9	368	31
2	Gray eel-catfish	*Plotosus canius*	6	1.357	21
3	Banana prawn	*Peneus merguiensis*	0	0	0
4	Long tongue sole	*Cynoglossus lingua*	12	685	41
5	Savalai hairtail	*Lepturacanthus savala*	2	235	7
	Total		29	2.645	100

Based on Table 2, the number of types of catch obtained in the second trial was dominated by *Plotosus canius* as much as 41% of the total catch. Meanwhile, the shrimp were not caught because the boat engine power was not sufficient to pull the net gill net. The percentage of catches in the first trial can be seen in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Percentage of Fish Catching in the Second Trial

1. Operation Method of Sweeping 3/4 Round (270^0)

The operation of the 3/4 circle *gilltong* sweeping is a continuation of the 1/2 circle operation as shown in Figure 8 below:



Figure 8. Sweeping 3/4 Turn 270^0

Composition of Catches by Sweeping Method 3/4 turn (270^0)

The trial was carried out by the sweeping 3/4 turn (270^0) method with 2 repetitions with different positions. The following is the composition of the catch in the third trial:

Table 3

Composition of the Third Trial Catch

No	Name of Fish	Scientific name	Amount (fish)	Weight (gram)	Percentage (%)
1	Sin croaker	*Johnius dussumieri*	8	331	22
2	Gray eel-catfish	*Plotosus canius*	14	3.265	39
3	Banana prawn	*Peneus merguiensis*	0	0	0
4	Long tongue sole	*Cynoglossus lingua*	9	560	25
5	Chacunda gizzard shad	*Dorosoma chacunda*	5	590	14
	Total		36	4.746	100

Based on Table 3, the number of types of catch obtained in the third trial was dominated by *Plotosus canius* as much as 39% of the total catch. Meanwhile, the shrimp were not caught because the boat engine power was not sufficient to pull the net gill net. The percentage of catches in the first trial can be seen in Figure 9.



Figure 9. Percentage of Fish Catching in the Third Trial

4. Operation Method of Sweeping 1 Full Turn (360^0)

The operation of the sweeping *gilltong* of one circle is a continuation of the operation of the circle, while the activities are as follows:

1) After the boat finishes the 3/4 circle operation. Then at the end of the net a weight is lowered and the end of the tow line is attached with a sign buoy, then lower it into the sea.
2) After that it is connected by a tow rope and the ship moves eastward against the direction of the current, at a speed of 2-3 knots and then forms a 1/4 circle.
3) Then the boat moves to pick up the sign buoy.
4) Then do hauling by pulling the rope over the boat followed by the pulling of the net.
5) The catch is taken from the net until all the nets get on the boat.
6) Then the net is lifted onto the boat.



Figure 10. Sweeping One Full Turn 360^0

Composition of the Catch of the Sweeping Method 1 Round (360^0)

The trial was carried out by the sweeping method one turn (360^0) with 2 repetitions with different positions in Seleboi Waters. The number of *gilltong* used is 4 pieces. The number of catches is:

Table 9

Composition of the Fourth Trial Catch

No	Name of Fish	Scientific name	Amount (fish)	Weight (gram)	Percentage (%)
1	Sin croaker	*Johnius dussumieri*	12	540	29
2	Fringescale sardinella	*Sardinella fimbriata*	7	2.695	17
3	Banana prawn	*Peneus merguiensis*	0	0	0
4	Long tongue sole	*Cynoglossus lingua*	9	505	22
5	Gray eel-catfish	*Plotosus canius*	13	165	32
	Total		41	3.905	100

Based on Table 9, the number of types of catch obtained in the fourth trial was dominated by *Plotosus canius* as much as 32% of the total catch. Meanwhile, the *Peneus merguiensis* were not caught because the boat engine power was not sufficient to pull the net. The percentage of catches in the first trial can be seen in Figure 11.



Figure 11. Percentage of Fish Catching in the Fourth Trial

5. The Operation Method In A Circular Pull (Sweeping Beach Seine)

The operation of a *gilltong* by means of a circular pull (*Beach Seine*) is a method of operation that is usually carried out by fishermen on the north coast of Java, as for the method of operating the Beach Seine as follows:

1) Look at the direction of the current.
2) First lower the mark buoys and their weights.
3) Then the boat departs slightly to the left of the current direction at a slow speed (± 2.5 – 3 knots) followed by lowering the left side rope (100 m) until it runs out.
4) After that the boat changes its direction to the right so that it crosses the current at a constant speed while lowering the net until the nets all fall.
5) Then after the net runs out, the boat changes its right direction so that the bow deviates slightly to the right with the current.
6) While lowering the ship's starboard rope, it is directed to the sign buoy.
7) Then take the mark buoy and the weights and tie the right and left side ropes and tie them at the stern of the boat.
8) After that the boat advances against the current until the right and left end nets unite.
9) Then the net lift up from the right and left side ropes simultaneously from the sides of each hull until the net goes up onto the boat.



Figure 12. Operation of a circular *gilltong* (Misbah, 2017)

Composition of the Catch Method in a Circular Pull (Sweeping Beach Seine)

The trial was carried out using the Beach Seine method with 2 repetitions with different positions in Seleboi Waters. The number of *gilltong* used is 4 pieces. The number of catches for this method The following is the composition of the catch in the fifth trial:

Table 10

Composition of the Fifth Trial Catch

No	Name of Fish	Scientific name	Amount (fish)	Weight (gram)	Percentage (%)
1	Sin croaker	*Johnius dussumieri*	15	925	23
2	Gray eel-catfish	*Plotosus canius*	8	1.895	12
3	Brushtooth lizardfish	*Saurida undosquamis*	5	690	8
4	Banana prawn	*Peneus merguiensis*	0	0	0
5	Chacunda gizzard shad	*Dorosoma chacunda*	7	430	11
6	Long tongue sole	*Cynoglossus lingua*	12	690	18
7	Fringescale sardinella	*Sardinella fimbriata*	18	244	28
	Total		65	4.874	100

Based on Table 10, the number of types of catch obtained in the fifth trial was dominated by *Sardinella fimbriata* as much as 28% of the total catch. Meanwhile, the *Peneus merguiensis* were not caught because the boat engine power was not sufficient to pull the net *gilltong*. The percentage of catches in the first trial can be seen in Figure 13.



Figure 13. Percentage of Fish Catching in the Fifth Trial

6. Operating Methods for Sweeping 90^0 and Sweeping 180^0

Gilltong that will be lowered are assembled first by connecting to the top and bottom risers. The design of the 1/4 round or 1/2 circle sweeping test is as follows:



Figure 14. Sweeping 1/4 round 90^0



Figure 15. Sweeping 1/2 round 180^0

Composition of Catches with Sweeping 90^0 and Sweeping 180^0 Methods

The trial was carried out with the Sweeping 90^0 method and the Sweeping 180^0 method with 2 repetitions with different positions. The number of *gilltong* used is 4 pieces. The following is the composition of the catch yield on the sixth trial:

Table 11

Composition of the Sixth Trial Catch

No	Name of Fish	Scientific name	Amount (fish)	Weight (gram)	Percentage (%)
1	Sin croaker	*Johnius dussumieri*	8	430	17
2	Gray eel-catfish	*Plotosus canius*	13	2.712	27
3	Banana prawn	*Peneus merguiensis*	0	0	0
4	Chacunda gizzard shad	*Dorosoma chacunda*	5	320	10
5	Fringescale sardinella	*Sardinella fimbriata*	7	89	15
6	Long tongue sole	*Cynoglossus lingua*	15	648	31
	Total		48	4.199	100

Based on Table 11, the number of types of catch obtained in the sixth trial was dominated by *Cynoglossus lingua* fish as much as 31% of the total catch. Meanwhile, the

Peneus merguiensis were not caught because the boat engine power was not sufficient to pull the *gilltong* net. The percentage of catches in the first trial can be seen in Figure 16.



Figure 16. Percentage of Fish Catching in the Sixth Trial

7. Method of Operation on Pull Using 2 Boats

Gilltong that will be lowered are assembled first by connecting to the top and bottom risers. The test design is towed using 2 boats as follows:

1) Boat 1 determines the fishing ground and observes the direction of the current that the net will lower.
2) Before lowering the buoy, it is given a tow rope 100 meters long to be picked up by the boat 2.
3) The net is lowered and stretches the direction of the current then the boat 2 takes the tow rope that is on the buoy.
4) When the tow rope is attached to the stern of the 2 boats then the two boats move apart so that the nets under the water can hit the maximum.
5) After that the two boats moved against the current at a speed of 3 knots for 30 minutes.
6) Then one of the boats returns the tow line to the first boat.
7) The process of drawing and arranging nets on the boat is carried out.

Composition of the Catch Method with 2 Boats

The trial was carried out by the Beach Seine method using 2 boats with 1 trial in Seleboi Waters. The number of *gilltong* used is 4 pieces. The following is the composition of the catch in the seventh trial:

Table 12

Composition of Seventh Trial Catch Result

No	Name of Fish	Scientific name	Amount (fish)	Weight (gram)	Percentage (%)
1	Sin croaker	*Johnius dussumieri*	13	442	22
2	Gray eel-catfish	*Plotosus canius*	17	3.645	29
3	Banana prawn	*Peneus merguiensis*	0	0	0
4	Chacunda gizzard shad	*Dorosoma chacunda*	15	925	26
5	Long tongue sole	*Cynoglossus lingua*	5	420	9
6	Fringescale sardinella	*Sardinella fimbriata*	8	121	14
	Total		58	5.553	100

Based on Table 12, the number of types of catch obtained in the sixth trial was dominated by *Plotosus canius* as much as 29% of the total catch. Meanwhile, the *Peneus* *merguiensis* were not caught because the boat engine power was not sufficient to pull the *gilltong*. The percentage of catches in the first trial can be seen in Figure 17.



Figure 17. Percentage of Fish Catching in the Seventh Trial

8. Operation method in a circular pull (Sweeping Beach Seine)

This method is very effective in the operation of the *Gilltong* trial by means of a circular stretch (Beach Seine), as for the method of operating the Beach Seine as follows:

1) Look at the direction of the current.
2) First lower the mark buoys and their weights.
3) Then the boat departs slightly to the left of the current direction at a slow speed (± 2.5– 3 knots) followed by lowering the left side rope (100 m) until it runs out.
4) After that the boat changes its direction to the right so that it crosses the current at a constant speed while lowering the net until the nets all fall.
5) Then after the net runs out, the boat changes its right direction so that the bow deviates slightly to the right with the current.
6) While lowering the ship's starboard rope, it is directed to the sign buoy.
7) Then take the mark buoy and the weights and tie the right and left side ropes and tie them at the stern of the boat.
8) After that the boat advances against the current until the right and left end nets unite.
9) Then the net heave up from the right and left side ropes simultaneously from the sides of each hull until the net goes up onto the boat.



Figure 18. Operation of a circular of *gilltong* (Misbah, 2017)

Composition of Catch Results from the Beach Seine Method

The trial was carried out with the Beach Seine method, this method is the most effective in the operation of the *gilltong* trial with 3 repetitions at different positions. The number of *gilltong* used is 4 pieces. The following is the composition of the catch in the eighth trial:

Table 13

Composition of the Results of the Eighth Trial Catch

No	Name of Fish	Scientific name	Amount (fish)	Weight (gram)	Percentage (%)
1	Sin croaker	*Johnius dussumieri*	14	544	27
2	Gray eel-catfish	*Plotosus canius*	7	1.438	14
3	Banana prawn	*Peneus merguiensis*	0	0	0
4	Chacunda gizzard shad	*Dorosoma chacunda*	10	630	20
5	Long tongue sole	*Cynoglossus lingua*	5	300	10
6	Fringescale sardinella	*Sardinella fimbriata*	12	144	23
7	Brushtooth lizardfish	*Saurida undosquamis*	3	225	6
	Total		51	3.081	100

Based on Table 13, the number of types of catch obtained in the sixth trial was dominated by *Johnius dussumieri* as much as 27% of the total catch. Meanwhile, the shrimp were not caught because the boat engine power was not sufficient to pull the *gilltong*. The percentage of catches in the first trial can be seen in Figure 19.



Figure 19. Percentage of Fish Catching in the Eighth Trial

9. One Full Round of Sweeping Operation Method (360^0)

The operation of the sweeping gillnet bag of one circle is a continuation of the operation of the circle, while the activities are as follows:

1) After the boat finishes the three-quarter circle operation. Then at the end of the net a weight is lowered and the end of the tow line is attached with a sign buoy, then lower it into the sea.
2) After that it is connected by a tow rope and the ship moves eastward against the direction of the current, with a speed of 2-3 knot and then forms a 1/4 circle.
3) Then the boat moves to pick up the sign buoy.
4) Then do hauling by pulling the rope over the boat followed by the pulling of the net.
5) The catch is taken from the net until all the nets get on the boat.
6) Then the net is heave up onto the boat.



Gambar 20. *Sweeping* Satu Putaran Penuh 360^0

Composition of Catch One Turn Method (360^0)

The trial was carried out using a full cycle method (360^0) with 3 repetitions at different positions in Seleboi Waters. The number of *gilltong* used is 4 pieces. The following is the composition of the catch in the ninth trial:

Table 14

Composition of the Ninth Trial Catch

No	Name of Fish	Scientific name	Amount (fish)	Weight (gram)	Percentage (%)
1	Sin croaker	*Johnius dussumieri*	10	439	26
2	Gray eel-catfish	*Plotosus canius*	5	1.010	13
3	Banana prawn	*Peneus merguiensis*	0	0	0
4	Chacunda gizzard shad	*Dorosoma chacunda*	3	365	8
5	Long tongue sole	*Cynoglossus lingua*	7	335	19
6	Fringescale sardinella	*Sardinella fimbriata*	13	177	34
	Jumlah		38	2.166	100

Based on Table 14, the number of types of catch obtained in the sixth trial was dominated by *Sardinella fimbriata* as much as 34% of the total catch. Meanwhile, the *Peneus merguiensis* were not caught because the boat engine power was not sufficient to pull the *gilltong*. The percentage of catches in the first trial can be seen in Figure 21.



Figure 21. Percentage of Fish Catching in the Ninth Trial

Composition of *gilltong* Trial Catch Results

During the trial implementation in the waters of South Sorong, West Papua, the catch obtained was by catch, while the main catch, namely *Peneus merguiensis*, was not obtained. The types of bycatch were 4.147 grams of *Johnius dussumieri*, 915 grams of *Saurida undosquamis*, 19.767 grams of *Plotosus canius*, *Cynoglossus bilneatus*. 4.661

grams, 235 grams of *Lepturacanthus savala*, *Dorosoma chacunda* 3.260 grams, *Sardinella fimbriata* 1.041 grams.

The types of catch can be seen in Figure 22 below:



Figure 22. Types of Fish from the *gilltong* Catch

Conclussion.

Based on the trials that the authors follow on a boat that operates a *gilltong* fishing gear, it can be concluded:

1. In the operation of the *gilltong* fishing gear, there are several steps that must be prepared, these stages are the preparation of the fishing gear, lowering the fishing gear (setting), then moving to sweep the bottom of the water (sweeping) and withdrawing the fishing gear (hauling).
2. Based on 9 trials, the operating method of the Beach Seine system which is towed using 2 boats is the most effective because it produces more fish.
3. Catch results obtained in the *gilltong* trial are several types of fish which are divided into main target fish and bycatch fish. The main catch is *Peneus merguiensis* but it doesn't get any results. The bycatch obtained were 4.147 grams of *Johnius dussumieri*, 915 grams of *Saurida undosquamis*, 19.767 grams of *Plotosus canius*, 4,661 grams of *Cynoglossus lingua*, *Lepturacanthus savala* as much as 235 grams, *Dorosoma chacunda* 3.260 grams, *Sardinella fimbriata* 1,041 grams.
4. *Peneus merguiensis* are not caught because the boat engine power is not sufficient to pull the *gilltong.*

Refferences.

Ahrenholz W, Smith JW. 2010. Effect hang in precentage on catch rate of flounder in North Carolina Inshore Gillnet Fisheries. North Amerika Journal of Management. 30: 1407-1487.

Dahuri, R. 2010. Research Needs to Support the Implementation of Integrated Coastal and Ocean Resources Management. Coastal & Ocean Journal. PKSPLIPB. Bogor. 53-64.

Gunaisah, E. 2008. Penaeid Shrimp Resources and Development Prospects in South Sorong Regency, West Irian Jaya Province: Graduate School, Bogor Agricultural University.

Misbah S. 2017. Capture of Penaeid Shrimp After Moratorium and Prohibition of Trawling in Kaimana Regency, West Papua Province. Airaha Journal p. 70-80.
Naamin and Uktolsedja. 1976. Status of shrimp fisheries in South and East Kalimantan. LPPL. Jakarta
Nikijuluw, VPH (2002) Fisheries Resources Management Regime. Jakarta: Pustaka Cidesindo
Noija D, Matdoan K, Khow AS. 2008. Estimated probability of catching lalosi fish (*Caesio sp*.) In bottom gill nets in the waters of Kelapa Dua Hamlet, West Seram. Ichthyos. 7: 89-98.
Nontji A. 2007. Laut Nusantara. Jakarta: Djambat
Pala M, Yuksel M. 2010. Comparison of the catching efficiency of monofilamen gillnet with different mesh size. Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances. 7: 1146–1149.
Puspito, G. 2009. Stress and Curvature Form of Trammel Net Model (Model Testing Procedure Using Flume Tank and Mathematical Calculations). Department of Utilization and Fishery Resources, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor. 63 p. Setyasmoko, T.B., Fitri, A.D.S., and Gautama,
Sadhori, N. 1985. Fishing Techniques. Space. Bandung.
Sorong Fishery Academy. 2004. Fishery Potentials in South Sorong Regency. Sorong City: Sorong Fishery Academy.
Sudirman and Natsir, N. 2011. Chart Fisheries and Management Aspects. UMM Press. Poor.
USAID SEA Project. 2018. Enumerator Report for South Sorong Regency. Sorong City: USAID Sustainable Ecosystems Advanced (SEA Project) Indonesia.

Received: August 2020. Accepted: xxxx 2020. Published online: xxxxxxx.
Authors:
Erick Nugraha, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id
Bongbongan Kusmedy, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: bkhutapea@gmail.com
Suharto, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: suhartoxvi@gmail.com
Dedy H Sutisna, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: naoneta@yahoo.com
Afriana Kusdinar, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: afridinar@gmail.com
Syarif Syamsudin, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: tigershark007@gmail.com
Danu Sudrajat, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: sudrajatwrb@gmail.com
Yuli Purwanto, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: yuli.purwanto38@gmail.com
Qinthara Despriawan, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: qintharadespriawan@gmail.com
Agus Jaenudin, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: ajaenudin@wwf.id

Submission letter

Article title:
Comparison of the Sweep Method in Fishing with *Gilltong* in South Sorong Waters, West Papua, Indonesia

Name of the authors: Erick Nugraha

Hereby I would like to submit the manuscript entitled "**article title**" to Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation - International Journal of the Bioflux Society.

This manuscript was not submitted or published to any other journal. The authors declare that the manuscript is an original paper and contain no plagiarised text. All authors declare that they are not currently affiliated or sponsored by any organization with a direct economic interest in subject of the article. My co-authors have all contributed to this manuscript and approve of this submission.

Corresponding author
Erick Nugraha

Date, August 28, 2020

Miklos Botha <miklosbotha@yahoo.com>
Kepada: erick nugraha

Rab, 2 Sep 2020 jam 19.37

Dear Erick Nugraha,

We would like to inform you that after the preliminary evaluation your paper is qualified for processing (reviewing).

Before proceeding to the review please consider that a processing publication fee of 250 USD is required. The average duration of the publication process is 10 weeks, but it can be reduced in exchange of a priority tax of 50 USD (http://www.bioflux.com.ro/journal/).

In case the manuscript is not published, the author or his/her institution is reimbursed (exceptions are made in the cases of poor feedback from authors or withdrawal/rejection due to multiple submissions).

I will be your editor and I will stay at your disposition for all the aspects concerning your manuscript.

Concerning the payment procedure, you will find all the details bellow and I would like to kindly ask you to send me the scanned receipt of the payment in order to start the publishing process. Upon reception of your payment receipt we will send you the invoice.

1st payment option:
Beneficiary:
Bioflux SRL
City: Cluj-Napoca ,
Country: Romania, European Union;

SWIFT CODE of the bank: BTRLRO22

Account USD:
RO68BTRL01302202L28614XX

Bank:
BANCA TRANSILVANIA

Bioflux (publishing house)
54 Ceahlau Street,
Cluj-Napoca 400488,
Romania, European Union

Certificate / Letter of preliminary acceptance

This certificate shows that your paper:

Comparison of the Sweep Method in Fishing with *Gilltong* in South Sorong Waters, West Papua, Indonesia

Authors:
Erick Nugraha, Qinthara Despriawan, Agus Jaenudin, Suharto, Bongbongan Kusmedy, Syarif Syamsudin, Afriana Kusdinar, Dedy H Sutisna, Danu Sudrajat, Yuli Purwanto

at a preliminary evaluation is qualified for processing (reviewing process) in order to be published with revision in volume 13 (2020)
of the scientific/academic journal: Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation – International Journal of the Bioflux Society.
AACL Bioflux is covered by Thomson ISI Web of Knowledge via:

- Zoological Record (Biosis) and
- CAB Abstracts (CABI)

Thank you for publishing with us!

Sincerely yours,
Editor Senior Researcher
Miklos Botha, PhD

SOCIETATEA COMERCIALĂ BIOFLUX S.R.L. CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMÂNIA

erick nugraha <nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id>
Kepada: Miklos Botha

Sen, 7 Sep 2020 jam 11.07

Dear Miklos,
I have been paid of this Journal.

thank You.

› Tampilkan pesan asli

Payment fo....jpeg
122.1kB

~~The effect of moon phases to the pelagic fish catches purse seine in fisheries management area (FMA) 716, Indonesia~~ The Effect of Moon Phases Upon Purse Seine Pelagic Fish Catches in Fisheries Management Area (FMA) 716, Indonesia

[1]Suharyanto, [1]Mohammad K. Arifin, [1]Jerry Hutajulu, [1]Ali Syamsudin Waluyo, [1]Yusrizal, [1]Muhammad Handri, [1]Aman Saputra, [1]Abdul Basith, [1]Erick Nugraha, [2]Sepri

[1] Faculty of Fishing Technology, ~~Jakarta Fisheries Institute~~ Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, South Jakarta, Indonesia; [2] Faculty of Fishing Technology, Sorong Fisheries Institute, West Papua, Indonesia. Corresponding author: E. Nugraha, nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id

Commented [A1]: Title suggestion: **The effect of moon phases upon purse seine pelagic fish catches in fisheries management area (FMA) 716, Indonesia**

Commented [EN2R1]: Corrected, thank you

Commented [A3]: Please display full name.

Commented [EN4R3]: Corrected thank you.

Commented [EN5]: I changed our college name. thank you.

Abstract. The purse seine is a fishing tool whose main part is a net whose target catch is pelagic fish. Many factors influence the amount of catch and one of them is the Moon Phases. Changes in the moon phases can identify best times for fishing operations. The purpose of this study was to analyze the effect of the moon phases on the total catch of *K. pelamis*. The data was collected by following the fishing operation directly. The data analysis method used was descriptive to understand how the purse seine operation process and to classify the number of catches based on 4 moon phases. The results of the analysis of differences in the number of catches in each moon phases showed that the highest number of catches occurred in the first crescent phases 8.575 kg (35%) and the smallest number of catches was during the dark moon phases 1.877 kg (8%). For the results of the analysis of the influence of the moon carried out by the ANOVA test, the value was 0.577>0.05, which means that the moon phases did not have a significant effect on the number of catches.

Key Words: Sulawesi sea, *Pelagic Schooling Species*, Hunter's Moon, Skipjack tuna

Introduction. Indonesia is an archipelagic country that has natural wealth and high fishery resources, both in capture fisheries, marine cultivation, public waters and others (KKP 2017). Fishery resources in North Gorontalo Regency are estimated to have the potential for capture fisheries of 590,970 tons consisting of 175,260 tons of large pelagic fish, 384,750 tons of small pelagic fish, and other types of fish of 30,960 tons. Concerning the utilization rate, it is estimated that capture fishery it has only reached 46% of its potential (Department of Fisheries and Marine Affairs of Gorontalo Province, ~~2012~~ 2010). To take advantage of the potential of capture fisheries in North Gorontalo, there are several ways and one of them is using purse seine fishing (Center for Marine and Fisheries Education 2012).

Commented [A6]: 590 tones or 590 thousand tones?

Commented [EN7R6]: 590,970 tons and 384,750 tons

Commented [A8]: Department of Marine Affairs and Fisheries, 2010?

Commented [EN9R8]: Corrected thank you

Fishing boats are boats or other floating means that are used for fishing (Fachrussyah 2017). The purse seine boat used for fishing activities in Gorontalo waters uses a "two boat system". The purse seine is a net fishing gear from that is operated by circling a group of fish to a bowl-shaped tool at the end of the fishing process (Salencer 2018). The operation of this fishing gear basically consists of 4 stages of activities which include setting, pursing, hauling and brailling (Santoso & Bawole 2014).

In the operation of a purse seine, there are several factors that affect its operation, one of which is the moon phases. Changes in the moon phases can indicate a good time in fishing operations because there is a difference in light intensity in each moon phases and will affect fish that have positive or negative phototaxis properties of light so that differences in intensity will affect the volume of the catch when fishermen operate (Jatmiko 2015).

The principle of catching fish with a purse seine is to purse a school of fish with a net. After that the lower net is drained like a bowl, so that the fish is collected in the codend and cannot escape (Syamsuddin et al 2014). The net is operated in the morning starting at 05.00 AM, setting time lasts half an hour. In one trip, the purse seine is operated 1 to 2 times (setting), depending on the catch (Rahmat & Witdiarso 2017).

Fish that are the main purpose of catching from purse seine are fish that are "Pelagic Schooling Species" ~~(Gatut Bintoro, 2011).~~ (Gatut and Sukandar, 2011). According to Telussa (2006), fishing operations with purse seine around FADs with small pelagic fish as target species include *Decapterus* spp., *Selaroides leptolepis*, large pelagic fish such as *K. pelamis*), *Auxis* ~~*rhocei*~~ *rochei* and *Thunnus* spp.. A fishing ground is where fish that is the target of fishing are caught in maximum amount and fishing gear can be operated economically as well (Nusantara et al 2014).

According to ~~Gatut Bintoro (2011)~~ Gatut and Sukandar (2011) the first step in operating this fishing gear is to find a fishing ground. Because the fish that are targeted by the purse seine are clustered fish living in palagics, generally the catching area is in the form of seas in offshore areas with water depths of about 50 meters or more.

The distribution of demersal fish resources in FMA-716 is relatively narrow covering the coastal areas of Tarakan, Belinyu and Nunukan in East Kalimantan and Likupang Bay and around the Sangihe Talaud islands in North Sulawesi (Suman et al 2014).

Fish catches are multispecies in nature comprising demersal and pelagic species. The Indonesian fisheries administration records the catch divided to eleven statistical areas also called "management areas" (http://www.fao.org/fishery/facp/IDN/en). These are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Fisheries Management Areas (FMA) in Indonesia (http://www.fao.org/fishery/facp/IDN/en).

Commented [A10]: Gatut & Sukandar 2011?

Commented [EN11R10]: Corrected. thank you

Commented [A12]: rochei https://www.fishbase.se/summary/Auxis-rochei.html

Commented [EN13R12]: corrected. Thank you

Commented [A14]: Figure must be cited in the paper before it is displayed.

Commented [EN15R14]: Corrected thank you

Moon phases. Optimization of fishing will work well if fishermen can find out the factors that influence it. These factors include suitability in using fishing gear. The fishing gear used should be adjusted to the fishing ground and the type of fish that is the main target. In addition to the suitability of using fishing gear, fish resources will affect the catch obtained. The factor of the phases of the day of the moon will indirectly have an impact on the availability of fish resources, so fishermen need to know the changes in each phases of the day of the moon (Jatmiko 2015).

The catch of fish is strongly influenced by natural factors, one of which is the moon phases. The catch is also affected by changes in the intensity level of the moonlight. Changes in the amount and type of fishermen's catch in each moon phases (dark moon, dark to first crescent, first crescent to light moon, bright moon to last crescent) greatly impact the amount of catch and also the income level of fishermen (Nurlindah et al 2017).

The changing conditions of the moon phases are divided into four phases. New or dark moon phase (new moon), moon phase quadrant 1 (first quarter), full moon phase (full moon), and moon phase quadrant 3 (third quarter) (Figure 2). The phases of change in the conditions of the moon on average occurs every seven days. This division is based on the time or phases of the appearance of the moon. The condition of a bright moon occurs when the appearance of the moon is more than 8 hours in one day, while the moon bright occurs when the appearance of the moon is between 4 hours-7.5 hours, and the dark moon phases occurs when the appearance of the moon only appears between 0 hours - 3.5 hours (Lee 2010).

Figure 2. ~~Siklus bulan wilayah Gorontalo~~ Gorontalo region of moon phase (timeanddate.com/moon/phase/).

Commented [A16]: Please translate into English.

Commented [EN17R16]: Corrected thank you

The use of light as a fishing aid is closely related to the behavior of fish towards light. In the lift net fishing, the light sources are natural and artificial. Natural light sources come from the sun and moon, when the moon is full, the moonlight will spread over the surface of the water so that the fish will also spread on the surface of the water. This makes it very difficult for fishermen to carry out fishing operations with a purse seine, because it is difficult for fishermen to collect fish into one catchable area. The catch of the boat chart is a group of small pelagic fish that are reactive to light. There are patterns of fish arrival around the light source that go directly to the light source and some are only around the light source. The moon phases are an indication for determining fishing time for fishermen (Siahainenia 2017).

Material and Method. The present research was conducted from November 2019 to May 2020 by participating in the fishing operations of the purse seine vessels operating in North Gorontalo waters. In Figure 3 below we can shown the purse seine vessel used during the study.

Figure 3. The purse seine fishing boat used during the study.

Commented [A18]: Figure must be cited in the paper before it is displayed.

Commented [EN19R18]: I have added, thank you.

The tools and materials needed in this research were camera, calculator, laptop, stationery and Moon Phase Calendar application.

Data collection method. ~~The author~~ We uses several methods in data collection, including by way of: observation, interviews and documentation.

Commented [A20]: Which author? Or the authors?

Commented [EN21R20]: We/writer.
Thank you

1. Primary data were obtained by making direct observations on the ship by participating in ship fishing operations, the data includes; (1) preparation, (2) setting, (3) pursing, (4) hauling, (5) brailing, (6) fish handling, (7) date of each moon phases (Table 3).
2. Secondary data were data from fishing owners or companies. The data collection method used was a survey method.

Table 3

The emergence of moon phases

Third Quarter		**New Moon**	
Date	Time	Date	Time
20/11/2019	05:10	26/11/2019	23:05
19/12/2019	07:14	26/12/2019	13:13
17/01/2020	20:58	25/01/2020	05:42
First Quarter		**Full Moon**	
Date	Time	Date	Time
04/12/2019	14:58	12/12/2019	13:02
03/1/2020	12:45	11/1/2020	03:21
02/2/2020	09:41	09/2/2020	15:33

Data processing. The data that have been obtained during the study were grouped and classified using tables. Data for each trip of fishing activities were grouped according to the catch, type of fish captured, income and the moon phases.

Data analysis. Using descriptive analysis that describes the process of operating fishing gear and to determine the composition of the catch related to the moon phases, a quantitative research was carried out. Furthermore, to observe the effect of the moon phases, the composition of the catch was grouped into four moon phases. To find out the percentage comparison of fishing results between the four moon phases, the approach of Susaniati et al (2013) was applied:

$$\mathrm{p} = \frac{\mathrm{ni}}{n}\, x\, 100$$

Where:
p = percentage
ni = value of catch per species

n = value of total catch

$$\text{Percentage of dark moons} = \frac{\text{total new moon catch}}{\text{total moon phases catches}} x\ 100$$

$$\text{Percentage of dark moons} = \frac{\text{total first quarter catch}}{\text{total moon phases catches}} x\ 100$$

$$\text{Percentage of dark moons} = \frac{\text{total full moon catch}}{\text{total moon phases catches}} x\ 100$$

$$\text{Percentage of dark moons} = \frac{\text{total third quarter catch}}{\text{total moon phases catches}} x\ 100$$

To find out whether the moon phases affects the number of catches, the authors conducted a One Way Anova test using SPSS 22 software. Before carrying out the One Way Anova test, the normality and homogeneity tests must be passed first. The basis for decision making is as follows:

- Normality test
  If the value is Sig. > 0.05, then the data is normally distributed
  If the value is Sig. <0.05, then the data is not normally distributed
- Homogeneity Test
  If the value is Sig. > 0.05, then the data is the same or homogeneous
  If the value is Sig. <0.05, then the data is not the same or not homogeneous
- Anova test
  If the value is Sig. > 0.05, then the average is equal or has no effect
  If the value is Sig. <0.05, then the average is different or influential

Fishing ground. The fishing operation area at the time of the research was in the Fisheries Management Area of the Republic of Indonesia (FMA-RI) 716, namely in the Sulawesi Sea region (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Fishing ground (Tegoeh et al 2018).

The setting (catching) positions were as it is presented in Table 4.

Table 4

Position at the time of the catching operation

No	Latitude	Longitude
1	01°19′ 54″ U	123°19′ 36″ T
2	01°15′ 54″ U	122°28′ 18″ T

3	01°11′ 18″ U	122°34′ 36″ T
4	01°22′ 42″ U	122°39′ 12″ T
5	01°21′ 36″ U	122°36′ 00″ T
6	01°15′ 54″ U	122°28′ 18″ T
7	01°21′ 48″ U	122°36′ 42″ T
8	01°20′ 36″ U	122°13′ 54″ T
9	01°12′ 00″ U	122°14′ 30″ T
10	01°12′ 48″ U	122°35′ 48″ T

Results

Catched fish. The dominant target fish caught in the waters of North Gorontalo were ~~skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*)~~ *K. pelamis*, *Euthynnus affinis*, *Thunnus albacares*, *Decapterus* sp..

Commented [A22]: After the first mention only the abbreviate d Latin name should be displayed.

Commented [EN23R22]: Corrected thank you

Amount of fish caught during 16 trips. The moon phases are divided into 4 phases, namely the *first quarter*, the *new moon*, the *third quarter* and the *full moon*. The grouping of the amount of catches according to the moon phases is based on the number of trips as many as 16 trips which were then subdivided into 4 moon phases. Figure 5 preents the amount of catch of 16 trips.



Figure 5. Amount of fish catching during 16 trips.

Commented [A24]: Five thousand kg (5 tons) should be displayed as: **5,000** etc.

Commented [EN25R24]:

It can be seen that based on the results of the research, the most fish caught was on the 8th trip with the amount of fish of 4.500 kg consisting of *Katsuwonus pelamis* with 1,500 kg, *Decapterus* sp. with 2,000 kg and *Euthynus affinis* with 1,000 kg.

Commented [A26]: 4.5 tones or 4.5 kg?

Commented [EN27R26]: Tones. Thank you

The total amount of catches was 24,552 kg, with 10,852 kg of *Decapterus sp.*, *Euthynus affinis* 7,850 kg and *K. pelamis* 5,850 kg. It can be seen that the highest catch consisted of *Decapterus* sp. 44% (10,852 kg) and the lowest was recorded for *K. pelamis* 24% (5,850 kg) (Figure 6).



Figure 6. Composition of catched fish.

The total number per type of fish catches based on four moon phases can be seen in the graph 7 below:



Figure 7. Amont of catch according species based on four moon phases.

Effect of the moon phases upon the catches amount. Grouping based on moon phases revealed the best results for the *first quarter* of 35% (8.575 kg) and the weekest results 8% (1.877 kg) in the *new moon* phases as shown in Figure 8.

Commented [A28]: Figure must be cited in the paper before it is displayed.

Commented [EN29R28]: I have added. Thank you

Commented [EN30]: 8 ton, and 1 ton



Figure 8. Percentage of fish caught based on four moon phases.

To determine whether or not the moon phases affects the number of catches SPSS software for the *One Way Anova* test was used (Tables 8-10).

- Normality test

Table 8

Normality test

Phase	Kolmogorov-Smirnov[a]			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Amount Third Quarter	.224	3	.	.984	3	.759
New Moon	.318	3	.	.887	3	.344
First Quarter	.268	3	.	.950	3	.571
Full Moon	.276	3	.	.942	3	.537

From the normality test above, it can be concluded that the Sig. >0.05 so that the data is normally distributed and can be continued to the homogeneity test

- Homogeneity Test

Table 9

Homogeneity test

Levene Statistic	df1	Df2	Sig.
1.093	3	8	.406

For the homogeneity test, the Sig. 0.406>0.05 so that it can be said to be homogeneous and it can be continued to the last stage, namely the anova test.

- Anova test

Table 10

Anova test

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	8601192.667	3	2867064.222	.701	.577
Within Groups	32720469.33	8	4090058.667		
Total	41321662.00	11			

From the ANOVA test results above, it can be seen that the Sig. 0.577> 0.05, which means that the moon phases has a weak or insignificant effect on the amount of catch.

Conclusions

1. From the results of the study it can be concluded that the total number of fish caught was 24,552 kg with the highest catch consisting in *Decapterus* sp. (10,852 kg).
2. The highest amount of catch was obtained during *the first quarter phase*, namely as much as 8,575 kg (35%).
3. The lowest amount of catch was recorded during the *new moon phases* (1,877 kg; 8%).
4. For the one way ANOVA test results, the Sig. 0.577>0.05, revealed that the moon phases has no significant effect on the amount of catch.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank to all ~~skipper~~ Captain and crew of FB. DOA RESTU 01 who has allowed us to do research on purse seine fishing boat, provided tremendous opportunities, attention and assistance during the research. Thanks also go to all related parties, so that this research can be carried out and published.

Commented [A31]: What is a skipper? Please explain.

Commented [EN32R31]: Skipper, is a captain on fishing boat. Captain/Master is a captain on merchant marine. (IMO rule)

References

Department of Marine Affairs and Fisheries, 2010. Annual Report. Gorontalo: Department of Marine and Fisheries, North Gorontalo District. 51 p.
Fachrussyah Zhulmaydin Chairil. 2017. Textbook of Basics of Fishing. Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Gorontalo State University.
Gatut B., Sukandar. 2011. Fishing Method: bag Net Fishing Equipment. FPIK Universitas Brawijaya.
Jatmiko, G. G. (2015). Analysis of the Effect of the Moon Day phases on Catch Results and Mini Purse Seine Business Income in PPP Morodemak, Demak [Thesis], Department of Fisheries Resource Utilization, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences. Bogor Agricultural Institute. Bogor.
KKP 2017. Decree of the Minister of Marine Affairs and Fisheries No. 50 of 2017. Estimation of Potential, Allowed Catches and Utilization Level of Fish Resources in WPP NRI.
Lee JW. 2010. The Effect of Day and Moon phases on Catch Yield and Income Level of Lift net Fishermen in Serang District [thesis]. Bogor: Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Bogor Agricultural University.
Nurlindah, A., Kurnia, M., & Nelwan, A. F. 2017. Differences in Boat Chart Production Based on the Moon phases in Barru Regency Waters. Journal of Science and Technology of Fisheries Resource Utilization, 4 (8).
Nusantara, R. A., Rosyid, A., & Boesono, H. 2014. Analysis of Differences in the Depth of Fishing Areas on Composition of Catches in Cantrang Fishing gear (Boat Seine) in Rembang Waters. Journal of Fisheries Resources Utilization Management and Technology, 3 (3), 96-104.
Center for Marine and Fisheries Education. 2012. The Purse Seine Fishing Teaching Factory Module.
Rahmat E., Witdiarso B., 2017 Operation of Mini Purse Seine in Tomini Bay by Fishermen in Gorontalo. Technique Bulletin Resources and Capture Engineering 15(1):31-34.
Salencer O. C., 2018 Feasibility Study on Fishing with Purse Seine Fishing Equipment at the Port Technical Implementation Unit and Management of Marine and Fisheries Resources (P2SKP) Tambakrejo, Wonotirto District, Blitar Regency, East Java (Doctoral Dissertation, University of Muhammadiyah Malang).
Santoso H., Bawole F., 2014 Operational Techniques for Purse Seine Fishing Equipment on Northeast Ships 00. Bitung Fishery Academy. Bitung.
Siahainenia R. S., 2017 Capture Chart Results Based on Moon Age in the Waters of Leihitu District, Central Maluku Regency.
Suman A., Wudianto, Sumiono B., Irianto H. I., Badrudin, Khairul A., 2014 Potential and Level of Fish Resource Utilization in Fisheries Management Areas of the Republic of Indonesia (WPP RI). Publisher: Ref Graphika Jakarta Hal. 149.
Susaniati W., Nelwan A. F., Kurnia M., 2013 Productivity of Chart Tancap Fishing Areas with Different Distance from Beaches in Jeneponto Regency Waters. Aquatic Journal, 4 (1)~~xxx~~ p. 68-79.
Syamsuddin., Mallawa A., Salam A., Koniyo K., 2014 Sustainable and Environmentally Friendly Capture Fisheries Development Strategy in Gorontalo Province.
Tegoeh N., Thomas H., Umi C., Mufti. P.P. 2018 Reproductive Biology of Spanish Mackerel (*Scomberomorus commerson Lacepede*, 1800) At Kwandang Bay Waters, Sulawesi Sea.
Telussa R. F., 2006 Effectiveness of Floating Charts in Waai Waters, Ambon Island. [Thesis]. Bogor: Postgraduate School, Bogor Agricultural Institute.
http://www.fao.org/fishery/facp/IDN/en.
http://www.timeanddate.com/moon/phase

Commented [A33]: Please display pages.
Commented [EN34R33]: Added. Thank you

Received: September 2020. Accepted: xxxx 2020. Published online: xxxxxxx.
Authors:
Suharyanto, ~~Jakarta Institute of Fisheries~~ Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: suharyanto.jfu@gmail.com

Mohammad Khaidar Arifin, (Student). Jakarta Institute of Fisheries Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology. Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: arifinkhaydar@gmail.com
Jerry Hutajulu, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: jerryhutajulu15@gmail.com
Ali Syamsudin Waluyo, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: aliswaluyo6@gmail.com
Yusrizal, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: buyung_trc@yahoo.co.id
Muhammad Handri, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: handrimuhammad@gmail.com
Aman Saputra, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: amansaputra@yahoo.com
Abdul Basith, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology., Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: abdulbasith_ppsstp63@gmail.com
Erick Nugraha, Jakarta Institute of Fisheries Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id
Sepri, Sorong Fisheries Institute, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. K. Pattimura, Tanjung Kasuari, Sorong - West Papua, Indonesia, e-mail: sepri.papua31@gmail.com

How to cite this article:

Commented [A35]: Please display full name.

Miklos Botha <miklosbotha@yahoo.com>
Kepada: erick nugraha

Kam, 10 Sep 2020 jam 14.09

Dear Erick Nugraha,

thank you for transferring the processing fee for both manuscripts.
Coming back soon with new info.

Kind regards,

Editor AACL Bioflux
Senior Researcher
Miklos Botha, PhD.

Visit our journals:
Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl AACL Bioflux
Advances in Environmental Sciences www.aes.bioflux.com.ro AES Bioflux
Human & Veterinary Medicine www.hvm.bioflux.com.ro HVM Bioflux
Advances in Agriculture & Botanics www.aab.bioflux.com.ro AAB Bioflux
Animal Biology & Animal Husbandry www.abah.bioflux.com.ro ABAH Bioflux
Extreme Life, Biospeology & Astrobiology www.elba.bioflux.com.ro ELBA Bioflux
Porcine Research www.porc.bioflux.com.ro Porc Res
Rabbit Genetics www.rg.bioflux.com.ro Rabbit Gen
ProEnvironment Promediu www.proenvironment.ro
Poeciliid Research www.pr.bioflux.com.ro Poec Res

Study of tunas (*Thunnus* spp.*)* swimming layer using tuna longliner in the Northern Indian Ocean, Indonesia

Bongbongan Kusmedy, Jerry Hutajulu, Eddy S. Husen, Heru Santoso, Hari Prayitno, Rahmat Mualim, Maman Hermawan, Tonny E. Kusumo, Erick Nugraha, Aldhy Oktavildy

Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, South Jakarta, Indonesia. Corresponding author: E. Nugraha, nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id

Abstract. Research on the depth of the tuna swimming layer was carried out from November 2016 to May 2017 in the Indian Ocean. The present study aims to obtain information about tuna long liner operating techniques, determine the composition of the main catch and determine the depth of the tuna swimming layer in the Indian Ocean. This research is a case study of tuna fishing activities on tuna long liner. The catch obtained in this study consisted of 85 Bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*), 45 Albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*), 23 Yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albaceras*) (15%), and 7 (4%) Southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*). The Bigeye (*Thunnus obesus*) swimming layer was at a depth of 41–327.48 m. The swimming layer of albacore tuna (*Thunnus alalunga*) was found at a depth of 41–327.48 m. Yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) swimming layer was found at a depth of 41–327.48 m. The swimming layer of the southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*) was found at a depth of 189-310.54 m.
Key Words: Swimming layer depth, tuna, Thunnus spp., South Savu Sea

Introduction. Tuna longline is one of the most effective fishing gears to catch tuna. In addition, this fishing gear is selective to catch tuna (Nugraha & Setyadji 2013). Tuna longline is a combination of several lines with branch line and is equipped with buoys and hook (Subani & Barus 1989). Tuna longline consists of a series of main lines, and on the main line at a certain distance there are several branch lines that are shorter and smaller in diameter. At the end of the branch line is linked a hook with bait (Sjarif & Mulyadi 2004) (Figure 1). This bait includes sardine (*Sardenilla longiceps*), Indian mackerel (*Rastrelliger kanagurta*), scad mackerel (*Decapterus* spp.), bigeye scad (*Selar crumenophthalmus*), squid (*Loligo* spp.) and milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) (Santoso 1995). Milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) life are also used for longline fishing, especially by Taiwanese vessels (Beverly et al 2003).

The distribution and abundance of tuna is strongly influenced by variations in temperature and water depth parameters. Information concerning the distribution of tuna based on temperature and water depth is very important to support the success of tuna fishing operations (Novianto & Bahtiar 2011).

Pelagic fish are fast swimming fish. Tuna is a fast swimmer that differs in epipelagic waters (>500 m) and can swim as far as 55 km every day (Nurjana 2011). Tuna fish live by navigating the world's great oceans with a swimming speed of up to 50 km hour^{-1} (Baskoro & Wahyu 2004).

The interaction between target fish and bycatch is strongly influenced by the swimming layer (Novianto & Nugraha 2014). The depth of the swimming layer of tuna is influenced by temperature and salinity. The depth of the hook can be determined by changing the distance between two adjacent buoys. In addition, there are still other ways, namely by changing the length of the tuna longline such as main lines, branch line and buoy lines (Djatikusumo 1977).

Commented [A1]: albacares https://www.fishbase.se/summary/Thunnus-albacares.html

Commented [A2]: After the first mention only the abbreviated Latin name should be displayed.

Commented [A3]: If the swimming layer was identical for all of the three species than it can be formulated in a single sentence.

Commented [A4]: According to the international standards in scientific writing it is desirable to display 5 key words which do not appears in the title. This will increase findings via key words and implicit citations.

Commented [A5]: At References is displayed "**Syarif**". How is correct?

Commented [A6]: Lease add to References.

Commented [A7]: Not clear. Please rephrase.

Commented [A8]: Nurjanah et al 2011?

Commented [A9]: Djatikusumo 1997?



Figure 1. Tuna longline fishing.

Fishing ground. The fishing areas in Indonesian waters for tuna are Banda Sea, Maluku Sea, waters of south Java Island continuing to the east, as well as south of Sumatra waters, around Andaman and Nicobar, waters of north Irian Jaya, south of Timor waters and so on (Ayodhyoa 1981).

Tunas are special pelagic inhabitants that lies below the thermocline layer during the day, immigrates to the thermocline layer during sunset, spreads between the thermocline layer and the water bed at night, and descends to the deepest layer at sunrise.

Table 1

Fishing area parameters according to the target catch species

Species	Depth (m)	Temperature (ºC)
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	50-600, thermocline layer	10-17
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	50-250, top and middle layers	18-28
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	50-600, thermocline layer	10-17
Blue Marlin (*Macaira nigricans*)	50-150, top and middle layers	18-22

Fishing season. The fishing season for several types of tuna in Indian Ocean is generally thought to last for six months (Sedana 2004).

Table 2

Season of Indian Ocean tuna fishing (Sedana 2004)

Species	Season (month)	Range of peaks
Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*)	January - April	January
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	November - January	December
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	February - June	June
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	June - August	June
Other large pelagic species	July - December	October

Swimming layer. The distribution of tuna fish (based on depth of water) is most influenced by swimming layer and temperature (Nugraha et al 2010). Several previous research results also showed differences in the depth of the swimming layer of each type of tuna obtained in the Indian Ocean. Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) can be found at a depth of 186-285 m, Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) at 149-185 m, and Albacore (*T. alalunga*) at a depth of 161-220 m (Santoso 1999). Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) was caught at a depth of 300-399.9 m, Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) 250-299.9 m and Albacore (*T. alalunga*) at 150-199.9 m (Nugraha & Triharyuni 2009).

Commented [A10]: Please provide source. If it was wad drawn by one of the authors then please display "original".

Commented [A11]: According who? Citation needed.

Commented [A12]: Table should be cited in the paper before it is displayed.

Commented [A13]: Values defined by who? Please provide source.

Commented [A14]: *Makaira nigricans* https://www.fishbase.se/summary/Maikaira-nigricans.html

The purpose of the present study was to find out the types of tuna caught in Indonesian waters and to know the depth of the swimming layer in the Nothern Indian Ocean.

Material and Method. The methods used in data collection consisted of carrying out activities on longliner fishing vessel and using several methods, namely: observation, interview, and literature study.

Data analysis. The data analysis was performed using descriptive method, namely by reducing the data obtained in the field and comparing it with literature studies. Data and information obtained during the implementation of the study was analyzed by descriptive analysis method and qualitative analysis methods. Formula 1 and formula 2 below were used to calculate the depth of the fishing line using the Yoshihara method (1951).

$$D = fl + bl + {}^{1}/_{2}\,BK\left\{\sqrt{(1 + Cotg^2\sigma)} - \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2j}{n}\right)^2 + Cotg^2\sigma}\right\}$$

Where:
D - depth of hook (m)
Fl - length of the float line
bl - length of branch line
BK - length of play line in 1 basket (m)
j - number of branch line position
n - number of branch lines in 1 basket + 1

K	θ	$Cotg^2θ$
0.47136	79	0.03778
0.48657	78	0.04777
0.51698	77	0.05330
0.60821	69	0.14232
0.54739	75	0.07127
0.51698	77	0.05330
0.63862	66	0.18960
0.77927	54	0.52786
0.60821	69	0.14232
0.56674	73	0.09079

The value of the angle σ was obtained first by finding the curvature coefficient of the main line.

$$K = \frac{Vk\ x\ Ts}{BK\ x\ \sum b}$$

Where:
K - coefficient of curvature
Vk - ship speed (km h^{-1})
Ts - setting time (hours)
b - number of baskets

Formula 3 below was used to calculate the catch rate in the ratio of the catch to the number of hooks.

$$Hook\ rate = \frac{Number\ of\ fish\ caught/trip}{Number\ of\ hooks\ attached\ /\ trip}\ x\ 100$$

Fishing ground. The area of operation during the voyage was area 1 of the distribution of Southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*) fishing areas, which is around the south of the islands of Bali, Lombok and Sumbawa (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Longliner fishing ground.

Results and Discussion. The research was carried out from November 2016 to May 2017 using longliners operating in the Indian Ocean (Figure 3). The equipment used in this research were: cameras, stationery, calculators, laptops, meters, and tuna caught as research objects.

Picture. 3 Longliners in Bali, Indonesia.

Commented [A15]: Section to be moved to **Material and Method** chapter.

At the time of research, the catching system used two basket systems, namely basket with thirteen branch lines and basket with six branch lines.

Basket with thirteen branch lines. From the 64 settings, there were 48 settings using basket with thirteen branch lines (Figure 4), the setting time started at 06.00 central Indonesia time (WITA) until it finishes average five hours per setting time, setting using basket with thirteen branch lines is done when the moon is in the dark moon (not in a full moon) and when hauling is at 17.00 central Indonesia time (WITA) until the end of the hauling time is 9-12 hours depending on the weather and the main line is disconnected or not, the more main line decisions the longer the hauling process.



Figure 4. Sketch of basket with 13 branch lines.

The main catch using basket branch line was 90 fish, 56.25% of the total main catch. The tuna caught were albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*), bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*), Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) and Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*).

Commented [A16]: Basket with 13 branch lines?

Basket with six branch lines. From 64 times of the overall settings, for 16 times basket with six branch lines was used (Figure 5). Unlike the thirteen branch line basket, this setting is done at 17.00 central Indonesia time (WITA) until it's finished, the setting takes 6-7 hours because the speed of the main line throwing the speed is slightly reduced when using this basket, because the hooks does not sink too deep due to chasing tuna that swim on the surface of the water, this basket is usually used at full moon, 3 days before full moon and 3 days after full moon.



Figure 5. Sketch of basket with 6 branch lines.

The main catch using a basket with six branch lines consisted of 70 fish, 43.75% of the total main catch. From the two types of basket, the highest catches were obtained in basket with 6 branch lines viewed from the catch comparison factor with the number of settings perspective.

Catch composition. The catch obtained was grouped into main catch and bycatch. The main catch was considered to consist of tuna species, while bycatch consisted of any other species.

The total catch obtained during 91 days of fishing operation or 64 settings consisted of 160 fish. The main catch obtained included albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*), bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*), Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) and Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*). Comparison of the amount of catch can be seen in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Comparison of the amount of catches.

The picture above shows a comparison of the number of catches during the fishing process. The total catch was dominated by bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) with a total catch of 90 fish (56%), 41 albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) (26.54%), 23 Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*). (14.20%) while the lowest catch was recorded for Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) amounting 6 fish (4%).

Catch composition of based on size. The tuna species caught had different lengths according to species. The length measurement divided the catch into several categories, namely size 50-100 cm, 100-150 cm, and >150 cm. The distribution of the catch based on length can be seen in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Length of catch composition.

There were 35 *T. alalunga* caught at 100-150 cm size or 44.30%. *T. obesus* was caught mostly at >150 cm in size as many as 52 individuals or about 70.27%. *T. albacares* was mostly caught at a size of >150 cm as many as 16 fish or 21.62%. All individuals of *T. maccoyii* caught were all, over 150 cm amounting 6 fish or 8.11%.

The main catch that is mostly caught is bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) as many as 90 (56%). Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) caught the majority measuring more than 100 cm as much as 58.17%. This shows that more than half of the total catch is catch feasible. Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) has a catch size above 100 cm (Pranata 2013).

Albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) is the second type of tuna caught mostly as many as 41 (26.54%), and as much as 85.38% are catch-worthy. This is because the majority of caught are more than 85 cm in size. At that size, albakora tuna species have experienced gonad maturity (Pranata 2013).

Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) were caught as many as 23 tails or 14.20%. The average that was caught, had a size of more than 105 cm, which was 91.30%.

Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) is the type of tuna caught the least, as many as 6 (4%). However, all the catch of this type has a size of more than 150 cm which means it is catch-worthy. The catch-worthy category of it measures over 120 cm

Commented [A17]: Same information as above Figure 6. Repetition can be deleted.

Commented [A18]: The values from this section are in contradictory with the above statement (green highlight): I green T. obesus 52 individuals, 70.27%. In this section T. obesus 90 individuals, 56% etc
Not clear. Please clarify.

Commented [A19]: Feasible catch?

Commented [A20]: Same situation: here T alalunga 41 individuals (26.54%, in the green section T. alalunga 35 ind (44.30%) Contradictory. Please clarify.

Commented [A21]: Feasible catch?

Commented [A22]: Same situation. Please clarify.

Commented [A23]: More confusing is the fact that this section seems to be a repetition of the above section (in green) but with contradictory values, but discuss the same aspect, amount of fish caught according species. Repetitions has no sense (should be deleted).

Commented [A24]: In the green section 8.11%. Please clarify.

(Pranata 2013). This fish is similar to the Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) caught in the northern hemisphere, only smaller in size.

Commented [A25]: Which fish? Please specify.

Catch results based on fishing position. The first basket uses thirteen branch lines to catch tuna whose fishing area is deeper, usually performed when it is not a full moon. The second basket uses six branch lines to catch tuna swimming to the surface of the water, six branch lines are used when the full moon is around 7 days.

a. Basket with 13 branch lines

The composition of the catch based on the number of hooks can be seen in the Table 3.

Table 3

Catch based on 13 fishing lines positions

Species	Branch lines							Fish (ind.)
	1, 13	2, 12	3, 11	4, 10	5, 9	6, 8	7	
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	2	1	3	3	7	3	3	22
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	6	6	6	11	12	8	6	55
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	2	0	2	2	2	3	0	11
Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*)	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Number (fish)	10	7	11	16	21	16	9	90
Number hook	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150	5,075	
Hook rate (%)	0.0985	0.0690	0.1084	0.1576	0.2069	0.1576	0.1773	

Based on the Table 3, *T. alalunga* and *T. obesus* were mostly caught on branch line 5 and 9. *T. albacares* was almost evenly caught in each branch lines, but was not caught on lure numbers 2, 7 and 12. *T. maccoyii* was caught on hook number 6 and number 8 only.

b. Basket with 6 branch lines

The composition of the catch based on the number of 6 hooks can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4

Catch based on position of 6 branch lines

Species	Branch lines			Fish (ind.)
	1,6	2,5	3,4	
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	6	6	7	19
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	10	11	14	35
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	4	4	4	12
Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*)	1	2	1	4
Fish (individuals)	21	23	26	70
Number hook	7,180	7,180	7,180	
Hook rate (%)	0.292	0.320	0.362	

Based on Table 4, *T. alalunga* was mostly caught on fishing lines 3 and 4, and were caught evenly on all fishing lines. *T. obesus* was caught mostly in branch line number 3 and 4, and was caught almost evenly on all fishing lines. *T. albacares* was captured evenly on all hooks. *T. maccoyii* was mostly caught on line 2 and 5 and evenly caught on the other hooks.

Hook rate. The hook rate is a real calculation in quantity proportional to the number of fish caught at one time, for tuna longline itself it is calculated every 100 points of the line. So this hook rate determines whether the area still has good fishing potential or not, so that future availability can be calculated.

Commented [A26]: Catch rate https://www.academia.edu/5002919/Effect_of_hook_design_on_long line_catches_in_Lakshadweep_Sea_India

Commented [A27]: Catch rate = number of fish caught/ 1000 hooks http://www.fao.org/3/a-bj139e.pdf

Commented [A28]: Not clear. Please rephrase.

Figure 8 shows the result of tuna hook rate for 64 settings, these results are for all the four tuna species captured *T. obesus*, *T. albacares*, *T. alalunga*, and *T. maccoyii*.

The results showed that the average hook rate was 0.18% with the highest hook rate at setting 64, with a hook rate of 0.79%. According to Santoso (1999) the hook rate ranges from 1.17–2.73. The highest hook rate occurred at 9.11 hauling, and 12 was very different from what the researchers found, with a hook rate difference of 0.77%.

Commented [A29]: Not clear. Please rephrase.



Figure 8. Percentage of hook rate.

Commented [A30]: Please redo Figure. 0,2 should be **0.2**; 0,4 should be **0.4** etc.

Estimated depth of tuna swimming layer

a. Basket with 13 branch lines

The depth of the fishing line in operation with 13 branch lines has different depths as it is shown in Table 5.

Table 5

Calculation of the depth for each fishing line

Branch line number	Depth (m)		
	Upper Limit	Lower Limit	Average
1, 13	41.29	56.87	44.50
2, 12	80.35	113.10	87.49
3, 11	116.25	168.30	128.25
4, 10	147.65	221.56	165.58
5, 9	172.70	270.82	197.47
6, 8	189.14	310.54	220.47
7	194.10	327.49	229.32

The depth of branch line number 8 is the same as of branch line number 6, branch line number 9 is the same as branch line 5, branch line number 10 is the same as branch line 4, branch line number 11 is the same as branch line 3, branch line 12 is the same as branch line number 2, branch line 13 is the same as the number 1.

Commented [A31]: Data/information already available in Table 5. It is considered repetition. Repetition can be deleted.

b. Basket with 6 branch lines

The depth of the branch lines in operation with 6 hooks has different depths, as it is shown in Table 6.

Table 6

The results of the calculation of the depth of each fishing line number

Branch line number	Depth (m)		
	Upper Limit	Lower Limit	Average
1, 6	41.76	52.99	44.71
2, 5	75.47	103.72	82.38
3, 4	95.28	145.11	106.19

The depth of the branch line number 4 is the same with branch line number 3, branch line number 5 is the same as branch line number 2, branch line number 6 is the same as number 1.

Commented [A32]: Data/information already available in Table 6. It is considered repetition. Repetition can be deleted.

Swimming layer. The spread of tuna in the sea is determined by two factors, namely internal factors and external factors. Internal factors include genetics, age, size and behavior. Different genetics causes differences in morphology, physiological responses, and adaptability to the environment. External factors are environmental factors, including oceanographic parameters such as temperature, salinity, density, depth of the thermocline layer, currents, water mass circulation, oxygen and food abundance. The swimming depth of tuna varies depending on the species. In general, tuna is caught at a depth of 0-400 meters. The preferred water salinity ranges from 32 to 35 ppt or in oceanic waters. The water temperature ranges from 17-31ºC (Pranata 2013). Tuna catches based on the position of the fishing line (Table 3 and Table 4) and the calculation results of each fishing line number depth value (Table 5 and Table 6) obtained can be used as material for estimating the depth of the swimming layer for each species of tuna.

Commented [A33]: According to who? Citation is needed.

Commented [A34]: According to who? Citation is needed.

Commented [A35]: Not clear. Please rephrase.

Commented [A36]: The preferred water temperature of tunas?

T. alalunga was caught in all branch lines, the majority was caught on branch line 5 and 9 (Table 3) as much as 31.82%. It is suspected that *T. alalunga* swimming layer is at a depth of 172.70-270.82 m. The distribution of *T. alalunga* is strongly influenced by temperature and this tuna prefers lower temperatures. According to Nugraha & Triharyuni (2009), the distribution of *T. alalunga* is in a temperature range of 14-24ºC with a catching temperature range of 17-24ºC. At juvenile stage, *T. alalunga* prefers habitat in the area around the equator and its swimming layer is near the surface layer. After maturity (>95 cm), begins to move to a deeper layer (Block & Stevens 2001).

T. obesus catches were recorded almost evenly across the hooks. The depth of the swimming layer of this species is estimated to be at a depth of 41.30–327.49 m, the majority being caught at 172.70–270.82 m depth interval (branch line 5 and 8). *T. obesus* are often caught on deeper branch lines (no. 4, 5, and 6), because *T. obesus* prefer deep water with cooler temperatures (Block & Stevens 2001). The swimming area for *T. obesus* is located just below the thermocline layer, so it is advisable to use the deep sea tuna longline type (Santoso 1999).

T. albacares caught on all hooks were 23 (81.81%). The swimming layer of this species is thought to be at a depth of 189.14–310.54 m. *T. albacares* is often found in fishing lines close to the surface. Mainly this species is generally found above 100 m deep layers which have sufficient oxygen content. In the deeper layers where oxygen levels are low, *T. albacares* individuals are rare, while juvenile *T. albacares* can be found clustered with *K. pelamis* and *T. obesus* in the surface layer. When they are mature, they tend to stay in this water layer. The distribution of *T. albacares* is in the temperature range of 18–31°C (Block & Stevens 2001).

Commented [A37]: Not clear. Please rephrase.

T. maccoyii was caught in a quantity of 7 individuals and all of them was caught on branch line 2, 3, and 4 but mostly found on branch line number 2 amounting to 42.85%. Tuna which has a large body size has a spreading area with temperatures between 5-20ºC and can be found at depths of up to 1,000 m. This high adaptation behavior to extreme temperatures is due to the fact that *T. maccoyii* can raise its blood temperature above water temperature using its muscle activity (Block & Stevens 2001). *T. maccoyii* caught in the present study were suspected to be spawning individuals.

Figure 9 is an illustration of the swimming depth layer of tuna from the results of the present study. It can be seen the difference in the depth of the swimming layer between the four species of tuna captured. The difference in the vertical distribution of tuna is caused by several factors, one of which is temperature (Pranata 2013). According to the results of research by Nugraha & Triharyuni (2009), in the Indian Ocean *T. obesus* was caught in the temperature range of 10.0-13.9ºC, *T. albacares* at 16.0-16.9ºC, and *T. alalunga* at 20.0-20.9ºC. In addition, differences in location or geographic location also affect the habitat of tuna.



Figure 9. Tuna swimming layer illustration.

Several previous research results also showed differences in the depth of the swimming layer of each type of tuna captured in the Indian Ocean waters. The results of Santoso (1999) research show that *T. obesus* can be found at a depth of 186-285 m, *T. albacares* at 149-185 m, and *T. alalunga* at a depth of 161-220 m. Nugraha & Triharyuni (2009) reported that *T. obesus* was caught at a depth of 300-399.9 m, *T. albacares* at 250.0-299.9 m, and *T. alalunga* at 150.0-199.9 m.

Figure 9 illustrates the overall depth rage of the tuna's swimming layer. *T. alalunga* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. obesus* was caught at the depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. albacares* was caught at the depth range of 41–327.48 m and *T. maccoyii* was caught at the depth rage of 189–0 310.54 m.

Conclusions

1. The operation of tuna fishing consists of two processes, namely in setting and hauling. The average setting time was around 5 hours depending on the catch quantity. Hauling was performed from 17.00 until early morning.
2. Overall catches consisted of *T. obesus*, *T. albacares*, *T. alalunga*, and *T. maccoyii*. The average hook rate was 0.18% with the highest hook rate at setting 64, with a hook rate of 0.79%.
3. Concerning the tuna swimming layer, *T. alalunga* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. obesus* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. albacares* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m and *T. maccoyii* was caught at a depth range of 189 - 310.54 m.
4. The main catch obtained in the present study consisted of 85 *T. obesus*, 45 *T. alalunga*, 23 *T. albaceras* (15%), and 7 (4%) *T. maccoyii*.

References

Ayodhyoa, A.U., 1981. Fishing Methods. Dewi Sri Foundation. Bogor.
Baskoro M.S., Wahyu R. I. 2004 Fish Migration and Distribution of IPB, Bogor

Beverly, S., Chapman, L., and Sokimi, W., 2003. Horizontal Longline Fishing Methods And Techniques. Multiprees, Noumea, New Caledonia.
Block B. A., Stevens ED. 2001 Tuna: Physiology, Ecology, And Evolution. California (US): Academic Press.
Djatikusumo E. W., 1997 Economically important fish biology. Jakarta. Indonesia.
Novianto D., Bahtiar A., 2011 Distribution of Tuna Based on Temperature and Depth in the Indian Ocean. 165-170
Novianto D., Nugraha B., 2014 Catch Composition of By-Catch and Target Species on Tuna Longline Fisheries in Eastern Indian Ocean. Marine Fisheries ISSN 2087-4235 Vol. 5, No. 2, November 2014. p. 119-127.
Nugraha B., Setyadji B., 2013 Longline Tuna Bycatch Management Policy in the Indian Ocean. Journal of Indonesian Fisheries Policy 5(2):67-71.
Nugraha B., Wahju R. I., Sondita M. F. A., Zulkarnain Z., 2010 Estimation of the Depth of a Longline Tuna Fishing Line in the Indian Ocean: The Yoshihara and Minilog Method. Journal of Indonesian Fisheries Policy 176(3):195-203.
Nugraha B., Trihayuni S., 2009 The Influence of the Depth Temperature of the Long line Tuna Fishing Rod on Tuna Catches in the Indian Ocean. Capture Fisheries Research Center. Jakarta.
Nurjanah et al, 2011. Knowledge and characteristics of fishery product raw materials. IPB Press. Bogor
Pranata S. A., 2013 Swimming Layer Depth of Tuna (*Thunnus sp*) Captured by Long-line Tuna in the Indian Ocean. IPB Bogor
Santoso H., 1999 A Study on the Relationship Between Temperature and Depth of the Fishing Line on Longline Tuna Catches in the Southern Waters of Java Island. [Thesis]. Bogor.
Sedana M. I. G., 2004 Fishing Season in Indonesia. Marine Fisheries Research Institute, DKP, Jakarta
Subani W., Barus H. R., 1989 Fishing Tools for Fish and Sea Shrimp in Indonesia. Marine Fisheries Training Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Jakarta.
Syarif B., Mulyadi E., 2004 Technical Guidelines for the Identification of Capture Fisheries Facilities: Long line Tuna (Longline Tuna). BPPI, Semarang.
Yoshihara T., 1951 Distribution of fishes caught by the long line. II. Vertical distribution. Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish. 16:370–374.

Commented [A38]: Please display all authors.

Commented [A39]: Please display full name of the journal.

Received: September 2020. Accepted: xxxx 2020. Published online: 2020.
Authors:
Bongbongan Kusmedy, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: bkhutapea@gmail.com
Jerry Hutajulu, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: jerryhutajulu15@gmail.com
Eddy Sugriwa Husen, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: sugriwastp@gmail.com
Heru Santoso, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: herustppsm15@gmail.com
Hari Prayitno, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: hariprayitno46@gmail.com
Rahmat Mualim, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: rahmatmuallim@gmail.com
Maman Hermawan, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: mhermawan60@gmail.com
Tonny E Kusumo, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: susilobagaswibisono@gmail.com
Erick Nugraha,
Aldhy Oktavildy,

Commented [A40]: Please display full name for this section. Thank you!

Commented [A41]: Please provide e-mail address.

← Kembali   Arsipkan Pindahkan Hapus Spam

erick nugraha <nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id>
Kepada: Miklos Botha
Sen, 28 Des 2020 jam 22.18

Dear sir,
i send you edited 4th journal (study of Tunas ....)

thank you.

> Tampilkan pesan asli

Nugraha 4 ....docx
483kB

Miklos Botha <miklosbotha@yahoo.com>
Kepada: erick nugraha
Sen, 28 Des 2020 jam 18.46

Dear Erick Nugraha,

thank you for your prompt response!

Please find attached the 4th manuscript reviewed.

Looking forward for your kind response!

Kind regards,
Editor AACL Bioflux
Senior Researcher
Miklos Botha, PhD.

Visit our journals:
Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl AACL Bioflux
Advances in Environmental Sciences www.aes.bioflux.com.ro AES Bioflux
Human & Veterinary Medicine www.hvm.bioflux.com.ro HVM Bioflux
Advances in Agriculture & Botanics www.aab.bioflux.com.ro AAB Bioflux
Animal Biology & Animal Husbandry www.abah.bioflux.com.ro ABAH Bioflux
Extreme Life, Biospeology & Astrobiology www.elba.bioflux.com.ro ELBA Bioflux
Porcine Research www.porc.bioflux.com.ro Porc Res
Rabbit Genetics www.rg.bioflux.com.ro Rabbit Gen
ProEnvironment Promediu www.proenvironment.ro
Poeciliid Research www.pr.bioflux.com.ro Poec Res

erick nugraha <nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id>
Kepada: Miklos Botha

Min, 27 Des 2020 jam 11.47

Dear sir,
I send edited journal (The effect of moon phases....)

thank you.

> Tampilkan pesan asli

Nugraha 3 ....docx
625.9kB

Miklos Botha <miklosbotha@yahoo.com>
Kepada: erick nugraha

Sel, 29 Des 2020 jam 09.32

Dear Erick Nugraha,

We would like to inform you that your paper submitted to AACL Bioflux has been published:
http://www.bioflux.com.ro/docs/2020.3430-3440.pdf

Thank you for publishing with us!

Kind regards,

Editor AACL Bioflux
Senior Researcher
Miklos Botha, PhD.

Visit our journals:
Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl AACL Bioflux
Advances in Environmental Sciences www.aes.bioflux.com.ro AES Bioflux
Human & Veterinary Medicine www.hvm.bioflux.com.ro HVM Bioflux
Advances in Agriculture & Botanics www.aab.bioflux.com.ro AAB Bioflux
Animal Biology & Animal Husbandry www.abah.bioflux.com.ro ABAH Bioflux
Extreme Life, Biospeology & Astrobiology www.elba.bioflux.com.ro ELBA Bioflux
Porcine Research www.porc.bioflux.com.ro Porc Res
Rabbit Genetics www.rg.bioflux.com.ro Rabbit Gen
ProEnvironment Promediu www.proenvironment.ro
Poeciliid Research www.pr.bioflux.com.ro Poec Res