← Kembali | Arsipkan | Pindahkan | Hapus | Spam | •••

Submission Journal 4

Yahoo/Email M...

erick nugraha <nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id>
Kepada: Miklos Botha

Min. 20 Sep 2020 jam 11.19

Dear Sir,
Here i send my fourth Journal with the tittle is

Study of Tunas (*Thunnus spp.)* Swimming Layer Using Tuna Long Liner in the Northern Indian Ocean, Indonesia

please send me your bill for payment. because i'm need that soon.

Thank you.

best regards.

Erick Nugraha

Unduh semua lampiran sebagai file zip

Bioflux - St....docx
447.9kB

Submission l....pdf
205.5kB

Submission letter

Article title:

Study of Tunas (*Thunnus spp.)* Swimming Layer Using Tuna Long Liner in the Northern Indian Ocean, Indonesia

Name of the authors: Erick Nugraha

Hereby I would like to submit the manuscript entitled "**article title**" to Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation - International Journal of the Bioflux Society.

This manuscript was not submitted or published to any other journal. The authors declare that the manuscript is an original paper and contain no plagiarised text. All authors declare that they are not currently affiliated or sponsored by any organization with a direct economic interest in subject of the article. My co-authors have all contributed to this manuscript and approve of this submission.

Corresponding author

Erick Nugraha

Date, September 20, 2020

Study of Tunas (*Thunnus spp.)* Swimming Layer Using Tuna Long Liner in the Northern Indian Ocean, Indonesia

[1]Bongbongan Kusmedy, [1]Jerry hutajulu, [1]Eddy Sugriwa, [1]Hari Prayitno, [1]Heru Santoso, [1]Rahmat Mualim, [1]Maman Hermawan, [1]Tonny E Kusumo, [1]Erick Nugraha, [2]Aldhy Oktavildy

[1]Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, South Jakarta, Indonesia; [2]Student at Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, South Jakarta, Indonesia; Corresponding author: E. Nugraha, nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id

Abstract. Research on the depth of the tuna swimming layer was carried out from November 2016 to May 2017 in the Indian Ocean. This study aims to obtain information about tuna long liner operating techniques, determine the composition of the main catch and determine the depth of the tuna swimming layer in the Indian Ocean. This research is a case study of tuna fishing activities on tuna long liner. The catch obtained in this study consisted of 85 Bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*), 45 albacore tuna (*Thunnus alalunga*), 23 yellowfin (*Thunnus albaceras*) (15%), and 7 (4%) southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*). The Bigeye (*Thunnus obesus*) swimming layer is at a depth of 41–327.48 m. The swimming layer of albacore tuna (*Thunnus alalunga*) is found at a depth of 41–327.48 m. Yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) swimming layer is found at a depth of 41–327.48 m. The swimming layer of the southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*) is found at a depth of 189-310.54 m.
Keywords: Swimming layer depth, tuna, Thunnus spp., South Savu Sea

Introduction. Tuna longline is one of the most effective fishing gears to catch tuna. In addition, this fishing gear is selective to catch tuna (Budi Nugraha and Bram Setyadji, 2013). Long line tuna is a combination of several lines with branch line and is equipped with buoys and hook (Subani and Barus, 1989). Long line tuna consists of a series of main lines, and on the main line at a certain distance there are several branch lines that are shorter and smaller in diameter. At the end of the branch line is linked hook with baited (Sjarif and Mulyadi, 2004). These bait includes sardine (*sardenilla longiceps*), Indian Mackerel (*Rastrelliger kanagurta*), scad mackerel (*Decapterus spp*.), bigeye scad (*Selar Crumenophthalmus*), squid (*Loligo spp*.) and milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) (Santoso, 1995). Milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) life are also used for long line fishing, especially by Taiwanese vessels (Beverly et al., 2003).

The distribution and abundance of tuna is strongly influenced by variations in temperature and water depth parameters. Information regarding the distribution of tuna based on temperature and water depth is very important to support the success of tuna fishing operations. (Novianto and Bahtiar, 2011)

Pelagic fish are fast swimming fish. Tuna is a fast swimmer that differs in epipelagic waters (> 500 m) and can swim as far as 55 km every day (Nurjana, 2011). Tuna fish live by navigating the world's great oceans with a swimming speed of up to 50 km / hour (Baskoro, and Wahyu 2004).

The interaction between target fish and bycatch is strongly influenced by the swimming layer (Novianto, D. and Nugraha, B., 2014). The depth of the swimming layer of tuna is influenced by temperature and salinity. The depth of the hook can be determined by changing the distance between two adjacent buoys. In addition, there are still other ways, namely by changing the length of the tuna long line such as main lines, branch line and buoy lines (Djatikusumo, 1977).

The purpose of this study is to know the types of tuna caught in Indonesian waters and to know the depth of the swimming layer of tuna in the Nothern Indian Ocean, using formulas 1 and 2.



Figure 1. Long line tuna

Fishing Ground

The fishing areas in Indonesian waters for tuna fisheries are Banda Sea, Maluku Sea, waters south of Java Island continue to the east, as well as south of Sumatra waters, around Andaman and Nicobar, waters north of Irian Jaya, south of Timor waters and so on (Ayodhyoa, 1981).

Special pelagic that lies below the thermocline during the day, immigrates to the thermocline during sunset, spreads between the thermocline layer and the water bed at night, descends to the deepest layer at sunrise.

Table 1

Fishing area parameters according to the target catch species

Species	Depth (m)	Temperature (ºC)
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	50-600, thermocline	10-17
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	50-250, top and middle layers	18-28
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	50-600, thermocline	10-17
Blue Marlin (*Macaira nigricans*)	50-150, top and middle layers	18-22

Fishing season

The fishing season for several types of tuna in Indian Ocean is generally thought to last for six months.

Table 2

Season of Indian Ocean tuna fishing

Type of Fish	Season (month)	Range of peaks
Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*)	January-April	January
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	November-January	December
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	February-june	June
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	June-August	June
Other large pelagic	July-December	October

Source : Sedana 2004

Swimming Layer

The distribution of tuna fish (based on depth of water) is most influenced by swimming layer and temperature (B. Nugraha et al., 2010). Several previous research results also showed differences in the depth of the swimming layer of each type of tuna obtained in the Indian Ocean. Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) can be found at a depth of 186-

285 m, Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) 149-185 m, and Albacore *(T. alalunga)* at a depth of 161-220 m (Santoso, 1999). Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) caught at a depth of 300-399.9 m, Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) 250-299.9 m and Albacore (*T. alalunga*) 150-199.9 m (Nugraha and Triharyuni, 2009).

Material and Method. The method used by the author in data collection and collection was carried out by carrying out activities on the long liner and using several methods, namely: Observation, Interview, and Literature Study.

Data analysis method

The data analysis was done using descriptive method, namely by reducing the data obtained in the field and comparing it with literature studies. Data and information obtained during the implementation of the study will be analyzed by descriptive analysis method and qualitative analysis methods. Formula 1 and formula 2 below are used to calculate the depth of the fishing line using the Yoshihara method (1951).

$$D = fl + bl + {}^{1}\!/_{2}\, BK \left\{ \sqrt{(1 + Cotg^2\sigma)} - \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2j}{n}\right)^2 + Cotg^2\sigma} \right\}$$

Where :
D = depth of hook (m)
Fl = length of the float line
bl = length of branch line
BK = length of play line in 1 basket (m)
j = number of branch line position
n = number of branch lines in 1 basket + 1

K	θ	$Cotg^2θ$
0.47136	79	0.03778
0.48657	78	0.04777
0.51698	77	0.05330
0.60821	69	0.14232
0.54739	75	0.07127
0.51698	77	0.05330
0.63862	66	0.18960
0.77927	54	0.52786
0.60821	69	0.14232
0.56674	73	0.09079

The value of the angle σ is obtained by first finding the curvature coefficient of the main line.

$$K = \frac{Vk\ x\ Ts}{BK\ x \sum b}$$

Where :
K = coefficient of curvature
Vk = ship speed (km / h)
Ts = setting time (hours)
b = number of baskets

Formula 3 below is to calculate the catch rate in the ratio of the catch to the number of hooks.

$$\text{Hook Rate} = \frac{Number\ of\ fish\ caught/trip}{Number\ of\ hooks\ attached\ /\ trip}\ x\ 100\%$$

Fishing Ground

The area of operation during the voyage is area 1 of the distribution of Southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*) fishing areas, which is around the south of the islands of Bali, Lombok and Sumbawa.



Figure 2. Longliner Fishing ground

Result. The research was carried out from November 2016 to May 2017 using longliners operating in the Indian Ocean. The equipment used in this research are; Cameras, stationery, calculators, laptops, meters, and tuna caught as research objects.

Picture. 3 Longliners in Bali, Indonesia

At the time of research. The catching system uses two basket systems, namely basket with Thirteen branch line and six branch line.

a. Basket with thirteen branch line

From the 64 settings, there are 48 settings using basket with thirteen branch line, the setting time starts at 06.00 central Indonesia time (WITA) until it finishes average five hours per setting time, setting using basket thirteen branch line is done when the moon is in the dark moon (not in a full moon) and when hauling is at 17.00 central Indonesia time (WITA) until the end of the hauling time is 9-12 hours depending on the weather and the main line is disconnected or not, the more main line decisions the longer the hauling process.



Figure 4. Sketch of basket with 13 branch line

The main catch using basket branch line is 90 fish, 56.25% of the total main catch. The tuna caught are albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*), bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*), Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) and Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*).

b. Basket with six branch line

From 64 times the overall setting is 16 times using basket with six branch line. Unlike the branch line basket thiteen, this setting is done at 17.00 central Indonesia time (WITA) until it's finished, the setting takes 6 - 7 hours because the speed of the main line throwing the speed is slightly reduced when using this basket, because the hook does not sink too deep due to chasing tuna that swim on the surface of the water, this basket is usually used at full moon, 3 days before full moon and 3 days after full moon.



Figure 5. Sketch of basket with 6 branch line

The main catch using a basket with six branch line is 70 heads 43.75% of the total main catch. From the 2 types of basket, the most catches were caught in basket with 6 branch lines when viewed from the catch comparison factor with the number of settings.

Composition of Catch

The catch obtained is grouped into main catch and bycatch. The main catch is tuna species, while other catches are other types of fish.

The total catch obtained during 91 days of fishing operation or 64 settings was 160 heads. The main types of catches obtained include albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*), bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*), Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) and Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*). Comparison of the amount of catch can be seen in the Figure below.



Figure 6. Comparison of the Number of Catches

The picture above shows a comparison of the number of catches during the fishing process. The total catch is dominated by bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) with a total catch of 90 fish (56%), 41 albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) (26.54%), 23 Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*). (14.20%) while the lowest catch was Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) with a total catch of 6 fish (4%).

Composition of Catch Based on Size

The types of tuna caught have different lengths. The length measurement is divided into several categories, namely sizes 50-100 cm, 100-150 cm, and >150 cm. The distribution of the catch based on length can be seen in the image below.



Figure 7. Length of Catch Composition

There are 35 albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) were caught at 100-150 cm size or 44.30%. Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) caught at most >150 cm in size as many as 52 tails or about 70.27%. Type of Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) was mostly caught at a size of >150 cm as many as 16 fish or 21.62%. Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) that were caught all over 150 cm were 6 or 8.11% in size.

The main catch that is mostly caught is bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) as many as 90 (56%). Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) caught the majority measuring more than 100 cm as much as 58.17%. This shows that more than half of the total catch is catch feasible. Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) has a catch size above 100 cm (Pranata, 2013).

Albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) is the second type of tuna caught mostly as many as 41 (26.54%), and as much as 85.38% are catch-worthy. This is because the majority of caught are more than 85 cm in size. At that size, albakora tuna species have experienced gonad maturity (Pranata 2013).

Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) were caught as many as 23 tails or 14.20%. The average that was caught, had a size of more than 105 cm, which was 91.30%.

Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) is the type of tuna caught the least, as many as 6 (4%). However, all the catch of this type has a size of more than 150 cm which means it is catch-worthy. The catch-worthy category of it measures over 120 cm (Pranata 2013). This fish is similar to the Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) caught in the northern hemisphere, only smaller in size.

Catch Results Based on fishing Position

The first basket uses thirteen branch line to catch tuna whose fishing area is deeper, usually used when it is not a full moon. The second basket uses six branch line to catch tuna swimming to the surface of the water, six branch line are used when the full moon is around 7 days.

a. Basket with 13 branch line

The composition of the catch based on the number of hooks can be seen in the table below.

Table 3

Catch based on 13 fishing line position

Name of Fish	branch line							Number (fish)
	1, 13	2, 12	3, 11	4, 10	5, 9	6, 8	7	
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	2	1	3	3	7	3	3	22
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	6	6	6	11	12	8	6	55
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	2	0	2	2	2	3	0	11
Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*)	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Number (fish)	10	7	11	16	21	16	9	90
Number hook	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150	5,075	
Hook rate (%)	0.0985	0.0690	0.1084	0.1576	0.2069	0.1576	0.1773	

Based on the table above, the types of albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) were mostly caught on branch line 5 and 9. Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) were mostly caught on branch lines 5 and 9. Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) were almost evenly caught in each number of branch lines. but not caught on lure numbers 2, 7 and 12. Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) was caught on hook number 6 and number 8 only.

b. Basket with 6 branch line

The composition of the catch based on the number of 6 hooks can be seen in the table below.

Table 4

Catch based on position 6 branch line

Nama Umum	Branch line			Number (fish)
	1,6	2,5	3,4	
Albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*)	6	6	7	19
Bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*)	10	11	14	35
Yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*)	4	4	4	12
Southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*)	1	2	1	4
Number (fish)	21	23	26	70
Number hook	7,180	7,180	7,180	
Hook rate (%)	0.292	0.320	0.362	

Based on the table above, the types of albacore (*T.alalunga*) were mostly caught on fishing lines 3 and 4, and were caught evenly on all fishing lines. Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) was caught mostly in branch line numbers 3 and 4, and was caught almost evenly on all fishing lines. Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) evenly on all hook. Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) was mostly caught on line 2 and 5 and evenly caught on the other hooks.

Hook Rate

The hook rate is a real calculation in quantity proportional to the number of fish caught at one time, for long line tuna itself it is calculated every 100 points of the line. So this hook rate determines whether the area still has good fishing potential or not, so that future availability can be calculated.



Figure 8. Percentage of Hook Rate

The picture above is the result of hook rate tuna for 64 times the setting, these results are tuna caught as a whole bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*), yellowfin (*T. albacares*), albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*), and southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*). The results showed that the average hook rate was 0.18% with the highest hook rate at setting 64, with a hook rate of 0.79%. According to Santoso (1999) the hook rate ranges from 1.17–2.73. The highest hook rate occurred at 9.11 hauling, and 12 was very different from what the researchers found, with a hook rate difference of 0.77%.

Estimated Depth of Tuna Swimming Layer

a. Basket with 13 branch line

The depth of the fishing line in operation with 13 branch line has different depths, as for the calculation table can be seen in the table below.

Table 5

The results of the calculation of the depth of each fishing line number

Branch line Number	Depth (m)		
	Upper Limit	Lower Limit	Average
1, 13	41,293	56,867	44,500
2, 12	80,349	113,106	87,493
3, 11	116,248	168,295	128,252
4, 10	147,650	221,559	165,582
5, 9	172,698	270,820	197,467
6, 8	189,139	310,538	220,471
7	194,909	327,489	229,321

The depth of branch line number 8 is the same as branch line number 6, branch line number 9 is the same as branch line 5, branch line number 10 is the same as branch line 4, branch line number 11 is the same as branch line 3, branch line 12 is the same as branch line number 2, branch line 13 is the same as the number 1.

b. Basket with 6 branch line

The depth of the branch line in operation with 6 hooks has different depths, as for the calculation table can be seen in the table below.

Table 6

The results of the calculation of the depth of each fishing line number

Branch line Number	Depth (m)		
	Upper Limit	Lower Limit	Average
1, 6	41,759	52,991	44,706
2, 5	75,466	103,720	82,376
3, 4	95,282	145,112	106,190

The depth of the branch line number 4 is the same with branch line number 3, branch line number 5 is the same as branch line number 2, branch line number 6 is the same as number 1.

Swimming Layer

The spread of tuna in the sea is determined by two factors, namely internal factors and external factors. Internal factors include genetics, age, size and behavior. Genetically different causes differences in morphology, physiological responses, and adaptability to the environment. External factors are environmental factors, including oceanographic parameters such as temperature, salinity, density, depth of the thermocline layer, currents, water mass circulation, oxygen and food abundance. The swimming depth of tuna varies depending on the species. In general, tuna is caught at a depth of 0-400 meters. The preferred water salinity ranges from 32-35 ppt or in oceanic waters. The water temperature ranges from 17-31ºC (Pranata 2013). Tuna catches based on the position of the fishing line (Table 3 and Table 4) and the calculation results of each fishing line number depth value (Table 5 and Table 6) obtained can be used as material for estimating the depth of the swimming layer of each type of tuna caught.

Albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) caught in all branch line, the majority caught on branch line 5 and 9 (Table 3) as much as 31.82%. It is suspected that the Albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) swimming layer is at a depth of 172.70-270.82 m. The distribution of Albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) is strongly influenced by temperature and this type of tuna prefers lower temperatures. According to Nugraha and Triharyuni (2009), the distribution of Albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) in a temperature range of 14-24ºC with a catching temperature range of 17-24ºC. When juvenile, albacore tuna have habitat in the area around the equator and their swimming layer in the near surface layer. After mature size (> 95 cm) begins to move to a deeper layer (Block and Stevens 2001).

Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) catches almost evenly across the hook. The depth of the swimming layer of this type of tuna is estimated to be at a depth of 41.30–327.49 m, with the majority being caught at 172.70–270.82 m depth intervals (branch line 5 and 8). Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) are often caught on deeper branch line (no. 4, 5, and 6), because bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) prefer deep water with cooler temperatures (Block and Stevens, 2001). The swimming area for Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) is located just below the thermocline layer, so it is advisable to use the deep sea tuna longline type (Santoso 1999).

Yellowfin tuna (*T. Albacares*) caught on all hooks were 23 (81.81%). The depth layer of this type of swimming is thought to be at a depth of 189.14–310.54 m. Yellowfin tuna (*T. Albacares*) are often found in fishing line numbers close to the surface. Many of these species are generally found above 100 m deep layers which have sufficient oxygen content. In the deeper layers where oxygen levels are low, yellowfin (*T. Albacares*) are rare. When juvenile, yellowfin tuna (*T. Albacares*) can be found clustered with skipjack (*K. pelamis*) and bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) on the surface layer. When they are mature, they tend to stay in this layer of depth. The distribution of yellowfin tuna (*T. Albacares*) is in the temperature range 18–31°C (Block and Stevens 2001).

Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) caught as many as 7 tails and all of them caught on branch line 2, 3, and 4 and mostly found on branch line number 2 amounting to 42.85%. Tuna which has a large body size has a spreading area with temperatures between 5-20ºC and can be found at depths of up to 1,000 m. This high adaptation behavior to extreme temperatures is due to the fact that southern bluefin tuna can raise its blood temperature above water temperature using its muscle activity (Block and Stevens, 2001). And the tuna caught in this study are suspected to be spawning tuna

The following image is an illustration of the swimming depth layer of tuna from the results of this study. From this figure, it can be seen the difference in the depth of the swimming layer between the four types of tuna obtained. The difference in the vertical distribution of tuna is caused by several factors, one of which is temperature (Pranata 2013). According to the results of research by Nugraha and Triharyuni (2009), in the Indian Ocean big eye tuna caught in the temperature range of 10.0-13.9ºC, yellowfin tuna (*T. Albacares*) 16.0-16.9ºC, and Albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) 20.0-20.9ºC. In addition, differences in location or geographic location also affect the habitat of tuna.



Figure 9. tuna *Swimming Layer* illustration

Several previous research results also showed differences in the depth of the swimming layer of each type of tuna obtained in the Indian Ocean waters. The results of Santoso (1999) research show that bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) can be found at a depth of 186-285 m, yellowfin 149-185 m, and albacore (*T. alalunga*) at a depth of 161-220 m. And the results of research by Nugraha and Triharyuni (2009) show that bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) are caught at a depth of 300-399.9 m, yellowfin (*T. albacares*) 250.0-299.9 m, and albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) 150.0-199.9 m.

The picture above illustrates the depth of the tuna swimming layer. Tuna Albacore (*T. alalunga*) caught at a depth of 41–327.48 m, bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) caught at a depth of 41–327.48 m, yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) caught at a depth of 41–327.48 m and southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) Caught at 189–0 310.54 m.

Conclusion.

1. The operation of tuna fishing gear consists of two processes, namely is setting and hauling. The average setting time is around 5 hours depending on how many and how few baskets are lowered. Hauling at 17.00 until early morning.

2. Overall catches of bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*), yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*), albacore (*T. alalunga*), and southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*). From the research results, it can be concluded that the average hook rate is 0.18% with the highest hook rate at setting 64, with a hook rate of 0.79%.
3. The depth of the tuna swimming layer. Albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) caught at a depth of 41–327.48 m, big eye tuna caught at a depth of 41–327.48 m, yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) caught at a depth of 41–327.48 m and southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) was caught at a depth of 189 - 310.54 m.
4. The main catch obtained in this study consisted of 85 bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*), 45 albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*), 23 yellowfin tuna (*T. albaceras*) (15%), and 7 (4%) southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*).

References.

Ayodhyoa, A.U., 1981. Fishing Methods. Dewi Sri Foundation. Bogor.

Baskoro M.S. and Wahyu R. I. 2004 Fish Migration and Distribution of IPB, Bogor

Beverly, S., Chapman, L., and Sokimi, W., 2003. Horizontal Longline Fishing Methods And Techniques. Multiprees, Noumea, New Caledonia.

Block B.A, Stevens ED. 2001 Tuna: Physiology, Ecology, And Evolution. California (US): Academic Press.

B. Nugraha ,. B. Setyadji. 2013. Longline Tuna Bycatch Management Policy in the Indian Ocean. Journal of Indonesian Fisheries Policy. Vol 5 No. 2, 2013. p. 67-71

B. Nugraha, R.I. Wahju, M.F.A. Sondita, Z. Zulkarnain. 2010. Estimation of the Depth of a Longline Tuna Fishing Line in the Indian Ocean: The Yoshihara and Minilog Method. Journal of Indonesian Fisheries Policy. Vol. 176 No. 3, 2010. P. 195-203

Djatikusumo E., W. 1997. Economically Important Fish Biology. Jakarta

Novianto D. and Bahtiar A. 2011. Distribution of Tuna Based on Temperature and Depth in the Indian Ocean. 165-170

Novianto D. and Nugraha B., 2014. Catch Composition of By-Catch and Target Species on Tuna Longline Fisheries in Eastern Indian Ocean. Marine Fisheries ISSN 2087-4235 Vol. 5, No. 2, November 2014. p. 119-127.

Nugraha B. and Trihayuni S., 2009. The Influence of the Depth Temperature of the Long line Tuna Fishing Rod on Tuna Catches in the Indian Ocean. Capture Fisheries Research Center. Jakarta.

Nurjanah et al, 2011. Knowledge and characteristics of fishery product raw materials. IPB Press. Bogor

Pranata S.A 2013. Swimming Layer Depth of Tuna (*Thunnus sp*) Captured by Long-line Tuna in the Indian Ocean. IPB Bogor

Santoso H. 1999 A Study on the Relationship Between Temperature and Depth of the Fishing Line on Longline Tuna Catches in the Southern Waters of Java Island. [Thesis]. Bogor.

Sedana Merta, I.G., 2004. Fishing Season in Indonesia. Marine Fisheries Research Institute, DKP, Jakarta

Subani, W. and Barus, H.R., 1989. Fishing Tools for Fish and Sea Shrimp in Indonesia. Marine Fisheries Training Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Jakarta.

Syarif, B and Mulyadi, E, 2004. Technical Guidelines for the Identification of Capture Fisheries Facilities: Long line Tuna (Longline Tuna). BPPI, Semarang.

Yoshihara, T., 1951. Distribution of fishes caught by the long line. II. Vertical distribution. Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish. 16, 370–374.

Received: September 2020. Accepted: xxxx 2020. Published online: 2020.

Authors:

Bongbongan Kusmedy, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: bkhutapea@gmail.com

Jerry Hutajulu, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: jerryhutajulu15@gmail.com

Hari Prayitno, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: hariprayitno46@gmail.com

Heru Santoso, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: herustppsm15@gmail.com

Eddy Sugriwa Husen, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: sugriwastp@gmail.com
Maman Hermawan, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: mhermawan60@gmail.com
Rahmat Mualim, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: rahmatmuallim@gmail.com
Tonny E Kusumo, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: susilobagaswibisono@gmail.com

Kembali Arsipkan Pindahkan Hapus Spam •••

Miklos Botha <miklosbotha@yahoo.com>
Kepada: erick nugraha

Rab, 23 Sep 2020 jam 18.11

Dear Erick Nugraha,

We would like to inform you that after the preliminary evaluation your paper is qualified for processing (reviewing).

Before proceeding to the review please consider that a processing publication fee of 250 USD is required. The average duration of the publication process is 10 weeks, but it can be reduced in exchange of a priority tax of 50 USD (http://www.bioflux.com.ro/journal/).

In case the manuscript is not published, the author or his/her institution is reimbursed (exceptions are made in the cases of poor feedback from authors or withdrawal/rejection due to multiple submissions).

I will be your editor and I will stay at your disposition for all the aspects concerning your manuscript.

Concerning the payment procedure, you will find all the details bellow and I would like to kindly ask you to send me the scanned receipt of the payment in order to start the publishing process. Upon reception of your payment receipt we will send you the invoice.

1st payment option:
Beneficiary:
Bioflux SRL
City: Cluj-Napoca ,
Country: Romania, European Union;

SWIFT CODE of the bank: BTRLRO22

Account USD:
RO68BTRL01302202L28614XX

Bank:
BANCA TRANSILVANIA

Bioflux (publishing house)
54 Ceahlau Street,
Cluj-Napoca 400488,
Romania, European Union

Certificate / Letter of preliminary acceptance

This certificate shows that your paper:

Study of Tunas (*Thunnus* spp.) Swimming Layer Using Tuna Long Liner in the Northern Indian Ocean, Indonesia

Authors:
Bongbongan Kusmedy, Jerry hutajulu, Eddy Sugriwa, Hari Prayitno, Heru Santoso, Rahmat Mualim, Maman Hermawan, Tonny E Kusumo, Erick Nugraha, Aldhy Oktavildy

at a preliminary evaluation is qualified for processing (reviewing process) in order to be published with revision in volume 13 (2020)
of the scientific/academic journal: Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation – International Journal of the Bioflux Society.
AACL Bioflux is covered by Thomson ISI Web of Knowledge via:

- Zoological Record (Biosis) and
- CAB Abstracts (CABI)

Thank you for publishing with us!

Sincerely yours,
Editor Senior Researcher
Miklos Botha, PhD

SOCIETATEA COMERCIALĂ BIOFLUX S.R.L. CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMÂNIA

← Kembali

Arsipkan Pindahkan Hapus Spam •••

erick nugraha <nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id>
Kepada: Miklos Botha

Jum, 25 Sep 2020 jam 15.36

Dear Sir,
Im already paid for my fourth Journal
"Study of tunas swimming layer...."

Thank you...

› Tampilkan pesan asli

Study Of tu....jpeg
112.5kB

Miklos Botha <miklosbotha@yahoo.com>
Kepada: erick nugraha

Jum, 25 Sep 2020 jam 15.40

Kembali Arsipkan Pindahkan Hapus Spam

Miklos Botha <miklosbotha@yahoo.com>
Kepada: erick nugraha

Jum, 25 Sep 2020 jam 15.40

Dear Erick Nugraha,

tank you!
coming back soon with new info.

Kind regards,

Editor AACL Bioflux
Senior Researcher
Miklos Botha, PhD.

← Kembali   Arsipkan Pindahkan Hapus Spam •••

Miklos Botha <miklosbotha@yahoo.com>
Kepada: erick nugraha   Sen, 28 Des 2020 jam 18.46

Dear Erick Nugraha,

thank you for your prompt response!

Please find attached the 4th manuscript reviewed.

Looking forward for your kind response!

Kind regards,
Editor AACL Bioflux
Senior Researcher
Miklos Botha, PhD.

Study of tunas (*Thunnus* spp.) swimming layer using tuna longliner in the Northern Indian Ocean, Indonesia

Bongbongan Kusmedy, Jerry Hutajulu, Eddy S. Husen, Heru Santoso, Hari Prayitno, Rahmat Mualim, Maman Hermawan, Tonny E. Kusumo, Erick Nugraha, Aldhy Oktavildy

Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, South Jakarta, Indonesia. Corresponding author: E. Nugraha, nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id

Abstract. Research on the depth of the tuna swimming layer was carried out from November 2016 to May 2017 in the Indian Ocean. The present study aims to obtain information about tuna long liner operating techniques, determine the composition of the main catch and determine the depth of the tuna swimming layer in the Indian Ocean. This research is a case study of tuna fishing activities on tuna long liner. The catch obtained in this study consisted of 85 Bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*), 45 Albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*), 23 Yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albaceras*) (15%), and 7 (4%) Southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*). The Bigeye (*Thunnus obesus*) swimming layer was at a depth of 41–327.48 m. The swimming layer of albacore tuna (*Thunnus alalunga*) was found at a depth of 41–327.48 m. Yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) swimming layer was found at a depth of 41–327.48 m. The swimming layer of the southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*) was found at a depth of 189-310.54 m.
Key Words: Swimming layer depth, tuna, Thunnus spp., South Savu Sea

Commented [A1]: albacares https://www.fishbase.se/summary/Thunnus-albacares.html

Commented [A2]: After the first mention only the abbreviated Latin name should be displayed.

Commented [A3]: If the swimming layer was identical for all of the three species than it can be formulated in a single sentence.

Commented [A4]: According to the international standards in scientific writing it is desirable to display 5 key words which do not appears in the title. This will increase findings via key words and implicit citations.

Introduction. Tuna longline is one of the most effective fishing gears to catch tuna. In addition, this fishing gear is selective to catch tuna (Nugraha & Setyadji 2013). Tuna longline is a combination of several lines with branch line and is equipped with buoys and hook (Subani & Barus 1989). Tuna longline consists of a series of main lines, and on the main line at a certain distance there are several branch lines that are shorter and smaller in diameter. At the end of the branch line is linked a hook with bait (Sjarif & Mulyadi 2004) (Figure 1). This bait includes sardine (*Sardenilla longiceps*), Indian mackerel (*Rastrelliger kanagurta*), scad mackerel (*Decapterus* spp.), bigeye scad (*Selar crumenophthalmus*), squid (*Loligo* spp.) and milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) (Santoso 1995). Milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) life are also used for longline fishing, especially by Taiwanese vessels (Beverly et al 2003).

Commented [A5]: At References is displayed "**Syarif**". How is correct?

Commented [A6]: Lease add to References.

Commented [A7]: Not clear. Please rephrase.

The distribution and abundance of tuna is strongly influenced by variations in temperature and water depth parameters. Information concerning the distribution of tuna based on temperature and water depth is very important to support the success of tuna fishing operations (Novianto & Bahtiar 2011).

Pelagic fish are fast swimming fish. Tuna is a fast swimmer that differs in epipelagic waters (>500 m) and can swim as far as 55 km every day (Nurjana 2011). Tuna fish live by navigating the world's great oceans with a swimming speed of up to 50 km hour^{-1} (Baskoro & Wahyu 2004).

Commented [A8]: Nurjanah et al 2011?

The interaction between target fish and bycatch is strongly influenced by the swimming layer (Novianto & Nugraha 2014). The depth of the swimming layer of tuna is influenced by temperature and salinity. The depth of the hook can be determined by changing the distance between two adjacent buoys. In addition, there are still other ways, namely by changing the length of the tuna longline such as main lines, branch line and buoy lines (Djatikusumo 1977).

Commented [A9]: Djatikusumo 1997?



Figure 1. Tuna longline fishing.

Fishing ground. The fishing areas in Indonesian waters for tuna are Banda Sea, Maluku Sea, waters of south Java Island continuing to the east, as well as south of Sumatra waters, around Andaman and Nicobar, waters of north Irian Jaya, south of Timor waters and so on (Ayodhyoa 1981).

Tunas are special pelagic inhabitants that lies below the thermocline layer during the day, immigrates to the thermocline layer during sunset, spreads between the thermocline layer and the water bed at night, and descends to the deepest layer at sunrise.

Table 1

Fishing area parameters according to the target catch species

Species	Depth (m)	Temperature (ºC)
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	50-600, thermocline layer	10-17
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	50-250, top and middle layers	18-28
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	50-600, thermocline layer	10-17
Blue Marlin (*Macaira nigricans*)	50-150, top and middle layers	18-22

Fishing season. The fishing season for several types of tuna in Indian Ocean is generally thought to last for six months (Sedana 2004).

Table 2

Season of Indian Ocean tuna fishing (Sedana 2004)

Species	Season (month)	Range of peaks
Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*)	January - April	January
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	November - January	December
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	February - June	June
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	June - August	June
Other large pelagic species	July - December	October

Swimming layer. The distribution of tuna fish (based on depth of water) is most influenced by swimming layer and temperature (Nugraha et al 2010). Several previous research results also showed differences in the depth of the swimming layer of each type of tuna obtained in the Indian Ocean. Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) can be found at a depth of 186-285 m, Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) at 149-185 m, and Albacore (*T. alalunga*) at a depth of 161-220 m (Santoso 1999). Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) was caught at a depth of 300-399.9 m, Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) 250-299.9 m and Albacore (*T. alalunga*) at 150-199.9 m (Nugraha & Triharyuni 2009).

Commented [A10]: Please provide source. If it was wad drawn by one of the authors then please display “original”.

Commented [A11]: According who? Citation needed.

Commented [A12]: Table should be cited in the paper before it is displayed.

Commented [A13]: Values defined by who? Please provide source.

Commented [A14]: *Makaira nigricans* https://www.fishbase.se/summary/Maikaira-nigricans.html

The purpose of the present study was to find out the types of tuna caught in Indonesian waters and to know the depth of the swimming layer in the Nothern Indian Ocean.

Material and Method. The methods used in data collection consisted of carrying out activities on longliner fishing vessel and using several methods, namely: observation, interview, and literature study.

Data analysis. The data analysis was performed using descriptive method, namely by reducing the data obtained in the field and comparing it with literature studies. Data and information obtained during the implementation of the study was analyzed by descriptive analysis method and qualitative analysis methods. Formula 1 and formula 2 below were used to calculate the depth of the fishing line using the Yoshihara method (1951).

$$D = fl + bl + {}^{1}/_{2}\,BK\ \left\{\sqrt{(1 + Cotg^2\sigma)} - \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2j}{n}\right)^2 + Cotg^2\sigma}\right\}$$

Where:
D - depth of hook (m)
Fl - length of the float line
bl - length of branch line
BK - length of play line in 1 basket (m)
j - number of branch line position
n - number of branch lines in 1 basket + 1

K	θ	$Cotg^2θ$
0.47136	79	0.03778
0.48657	78	0.04777
0.51698	77	0.05330
0.60821	69	0.14232
0.54739	75	0.07127
0.51698	77	0.05330
0.63862	66	0.18960
0.77927	54	0.52786
0.60821	69	0.14232
0.56674	73	0.09079

The value of the angle σ was obtained first by finding the curvature coefficient of the main line.

$$K = \frac{Vk\ x\ Ts}{BK\ x \sum b}$$

Where:
K - coefficient of curvature
Vk - ship speed (km h^{-1})
Ts - setting time (hours)
b - number of baskets

Formula 3 below was used to calculate the catch rate in the ratio of the catch to the number of hooks.

$$\text{Hook rate} = \frac{Number\ of\ fish\ caught/trip}{Number\ of\ hooks\ attached\ /\ trip}\, x\ 100$$

Fishing ground. The area of operation during the voyage was area 1 of the distribution of Southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*) fishing areas, which is around the south of the islands of Bali, Lombok and Sumbawa (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Longliner fishing ground.

Results and Discussion. The research was carried out from November 2016 to May 2017 using longliners operating in the Indian Ocean (Figure 3). The equipment used in this research were: cameras, stationery, calculators, laptops, meters, and tuna caught as research objects.

Picture. 3 Longliners in Bali, Indonesia.

Commented [A15]: Section to be moved to **Material and Method** chapter.

At the time of research, the catching system used two basket systems, namely basket with thirteen branch lines and basket with six branch lines.

Basket with thirteen branch lines. From the 64 settings, there were 48 settings using basket with thirteen branch lines (Figure 4), the setting time started at 06.00 central Indonesia time (WITA) until it finishes average five hours per setting time, setting using basket with thirteen branch lines is done when the moon is in the dark moon (not in a full moon) and when hauling is at 17.00 central Indonesia time (WITA) until the end of the hauling time is 9-12 hours depending on the weather and the main line is disconnected or not, the more main line decisions the longer the hauling process.



Figure 4. Sketch of basket with 13 branch lines.

The main catch using basket branch line was 90 fish, 56.25% of the total main catch. The tuna caught were albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*), bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*), Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) and Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*).

Commented [A16]: Basket with 13 branch lines?

Basket with six branch lines. From 64 times of the overall settings, for 16 times basket with six branch lines was used (Figure 5). Unlike the thirteen branch line basket, this setting is done at 17.00 central Indonesia time (WITA) until it's finished, the setting takes 6-7 hours because the speed of the main line throwing the speed is slightly reduced when using this basket, because the hooks does not sink too deep due to chasing tuna that swim on the surface of the water, this basket is usually used at full moon, 3 days before full moon and 3 days after full moon.



Figure 5. Sketch of basket with 6 branch lines.

The main catch using a basket with six branch lines consisted of 70 fish, 43.75% of the total main catch. From the two types of basket, the highest catches were obtained in basket with 6 branch lines viewed from the catch comparison factor with the number of settings perspective.

Catch composition. The catch obtained was grouped into main catch and bycatch. The main catch was considered to consist of tuna species, while bycatch consisted of any other species.

The total catch obtained during 91 days of fishing operation or 64 settings consisted of 160 fish. The main catch obtained included albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*), bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*), Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) and Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*). Comparison of the amount of catch can be seen in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Comparison of the amount of catches.

The picture above shows a comparison of the number of catches during the fishing process. The total catch was dominated by bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) with a total catch of 90 fish (56%), 41 albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) (26.54%), 23 Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*). (14.20%) while the lowest catch was recorded for Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) amounting 6 fish (4%).

Catch composition of based on size. The tuna species caught had different lengths according to species. The length measurement divided the catch into several categories, namely size 50-100 cm, 100-150 cm, and >150 cm. The distribution of the catch based on length can be seen in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Length of catch composition.

There were 35 *T. alalunga* caught at 100-150 cm size or 44.30%. *T. obesus* was caught mostly at >150 cm in size as many as 52 individuals or about 70.27%. *T. albacares* was mostly caught at a size of >150 cm as many as 16 fish or 21.62%. All individuals of *T. maccoyii* caught were all, over 150 cm amounting 6 fish or 8.11%.

The main catch that is mostly caught is bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) as many as 90 (56%). Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) caught the majority measuring more than 100 cm as much as 58.17%. This shows that more than half of the total catch is catch feasible. Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) has a catch size above 100 cm (Pranata 2013).

Albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) is the second type of tuna caught mostly as many as 41 (26.54%), and as much as 85.38% are catch-worthy. This is because the majority of caught are more than 85 cm in size. At that size, albakora tuna species have experienced gonad maturity (Pranata 2013).

Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) were caught as many as 23 tails or 14.20%. The average that was caught, had a size of more than 105 cm, which was 91.30%.

Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) is the type of tuna caught the least, as many as 6 (4%). However, all the catch of this type has a size of more than 150 cm which means it is catch-worthy. The catch-worthy category of it measures over 120 cm

Commented [A17]: Same information as above Figure 6. Repetition can be deleted.

Commented [A18]: The values from this section are in contradictory with the above statement (green highlight):
I green T. obesus 52 individuals, 70.27%. In this section T. obesus 90 individuals, 56% etc
Not clear. Please clarify.

Commented [A19]: Feasible catch?

Commented [A20]: Same situation: here T alalunga 41 individuals (26.54%, in the green section T. alalunga 35 ind (44.30%) Contradictory. Please clarify.

Commented [A21]: Feasible catch?

Commented [A22]: Same situation. Please clarify.

Commented [A23]: More confusing is the fact that this section seems to be a repetition of the above section (in green) but with contradictory values, but discuss the same aspect, amount of fish caught according species. Repetitions has no sense (should be deleted).

Commented [A24]: In the green section 8.11%. Please clarify.

(Pranata 2013). This fish is similar to the Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) caught in the northern hemisphere, only smaller in size.

Commented [A25]: Which fish? Please specify.

Catch results based on fishing position. The first basket uses thirteen branch lines to catch tuna whose fishing area is deeper, usually performed when it is not a full moon. The second basket uses six branch lines to catch tuna swimming to the surface of the water, six branch lines are used when the full moon is around 7 days.

a. Basket with 13 branch lines

The composition of the catch based on the number of hooks can be seen in the Table 3.

Table 3

Catch based on 13 fishing lines positions

Species	Branch lines							Fish (ind.)
	1, 13	2, 12	3, 11	4, 10	5, 9	6, 8	7	
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	2	1	3	3	7	3	3	22
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	6	6	6	11	12	8	6	55
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	2	0	2	2	2	3	0	11
Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*)	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Number (fish)	10	7	11	16	21	16	9	90
Number hook	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150	5,075	
Hook rate (%)	0.0985	0.0690	0.1084	0.1576	0.2069	0.1576	0.1773	

Based on the Table 3, *T. alalunga* and *T. obesus* were mostly caught on branch line 5 and 9. *T. albacares* was almost evenly caught in each branch lines, but was not caught on lure numbers 2, 7 and 12. *T. maccoyii* was caught on hook number 6 and number 8 only.

b. Basket with 6 branch lines

The composition of the catch based on the number of 6 hooks can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4

Catch based on position of 6 branch lines

Species	Branch lines			Fish (ind.)
	1,6	2,5	3,4	
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	6	6	7	19
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	10	11	14	35
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	4	4	4	12
Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*)	1	2	1	4
Fish (individuals)	21	23	26	70
Number hook	7,180	7,180	7,180	
Hook rate (%)	0.292	0.320	0.362	

Based on Table 4, *T. alalunga* was mostly caught on fishing lines 3 and 4, and were caught evenly on all fishing lines. *T. obesus* was caught mostly in branch line number 3 and 4, and was caught almost evenly on all fishing lines. *T. albacares* was captured evenly on all hooks. *T. maccoyii* was mostly caught on line 2 and 5 and evenly caught on the other hooks.

Hook rate. The hook rate is a real calculation in quantity proportional to the number of fish caught at one time, for tuna longline itself it is calculated every 100 points of the line. So this hook rate determines whether the area still has good fishing potential or not, so that future availability can be calculated.

Figure 8 shows the result of tuna hook rate for 64 settings, these results are for all the four tuna species captured *T. obesus*, *T. albacares*, *T. alalunga*, and *T. maccoyii*.

Commented [A26]: Catch rate https://www.academia.edu/5002919/Effect_of_hook_design_on_longline_catches_in_Lakshadweep_Sea_India

Commented [A27]: Catch rate = number of fish caught/ 1000 hooks http://www.fao.org/3/a-bj139e.pdf

Commented [A28]: Not clear. Please rephrase.

The results showed that the average hook rate was 0.18% with the highest hook rate at setting 64, with a hook rate of 0.79%. According to Santoso (1999) the hook rate ranges from 1.17–2.73. The highest hook rate occurred at 9.11 hauling, and 12 was very different from what the researchers found, with a hook rate difference of 0.77%.

Commented [A29]: Not clear. Please rephrase.

Commented [A30]: Please redo Figure. 0,2 should be **0.2**; 0,4 should be **0.4** etc.



Figure 8. Percentage of hook rate.

Estimated depth of tuna swimming layer

a. Basket with 13 branch lines

The depth of the fishing line in operation with 13 branch lines has different depths as it is shown in Table 5.

Table 5

Calculation of the depth for each fishing line

Branch line number	Depth (m)		
	Upper Limit	Lower Limit	Average
1, 13	41.29	56.87	44.50
2, 12	80.35	113.10	87.49
3, 11	116.25	168.30	128.25
4, 10	147.65	221.56	165.58
5, 9	172.70	270.82	197.47
6, 8	189.14	310.54	220.47
7	194.10	327.49	229.32

The depth of branch line number 8 is the same as of branch line number 6, branch line number 9 is the same as branch line 5, branch line number 10 is the same as branch line 4, branch line number 11 is the same as branch line 3, branch line 12 is the same as branch line number 2, branch line 13 is the same as the number 1.

Commented [A31]: Data/information already available in Table 5. It is considered repetition. Repetition can be deleted.

b. Basket with 6 branch lines

The depth of the branch lines in operation with 6 hooks has different depths, as it is shown in Table 6.

Table 6

The results of the calculation of the depth of each fishing line number

Branch line number	Depth (m)		
	Upper Limit	Lower Limit	Average
1, 6	41.76	52.99	44.71
2, 5	75.47	103.72	82.38
3, 4	95.28	145.11	106.19

The depth of the branch line number 4 is the same with branch line number 3, branch line number 5 is the same as branch line number 2, branch line number 6 is the same as number 1.

Commented [A32]: Data/information already available in Table 6. It is considered repetition. Repetition can be deleted.

Swimming layer. The spread of tuna in the sea is determined by two factors, namely internal factors and external factors. Internal factors include genetics, age, size and behavior. Different genetics causes differences in morphology, physiological responses, and adaptability to the environment. External factors are environmental factors, including oceanographic parameters such as temperature, salinity, density, depth of the thermocline layer, currents, water mass circulation, oxygen and food abundance. The swimming depth of tuna varies depending on the species. In general, tuna is caught at a depth of 0-400 meters. The preferred water salinity ranges from 32 to 35 ppt or in oceanic waters. The water temperature ranges from 17-31ºC (Pranata 2013). Tuna catches based on the position of the fishing line (Table 3 and Table 4) and the calculation results of each fishing line number depth value (Table 5 and Table 6) obtained can be used as material for estimating the depth of the swimming layer for each species of tuna.

Commented [A33]: According to who? Citation is needed.

Commented [A34]: According to who? Citation is needed.

Commented [A35]: Not clear. Please rephrase.

Commented [A36]: The preferred water temperature of tunas?

T. alalunga was caught in all branch lines, the majority was caught on branch line 5 and 9 (Table 3) as much as 31.82%. It is suspected that *T. alalunga* swimming layer is at a depth of 172.70-270.82 m. The distribution of *T. alalunga* is strongly influenced by temperature and this tuna prefers lower temperatures. According to Nugraha & Triharyuni (2009), the distribution of *T. alalunga* is in a temperature range of 14-24ºC with a catching temperature range of 17-24ºC. At juvenile stage, *T. alalunga* prefers habitat in the area around the equator and its swimming layer is near the surface layer. After maturity (>95 cm), begins to move to a deeper layer (Block & Stevens 2001).

T. obesus catches were recorded almost evenly across the hooks. The depth of the swimming layer of this species is estimated to be at a depth of 41.30–327.49 m, the majority being caught at 172.70–270.82 m depth interval (branch line 5 and 8). *T. obesus* are often caught on deeper branch lines (no. 4, 5, and 6), because *T. obesus* prefer deep water with cooler temperatures (Block & Stevens 2001). The swimming area for *T. obesus* is located just below the thermocline layer, so it is advisable to use the deep sea tuna longline type (Santoso 1999).

T. albacares caught on all hooks were 23 (81.81%). The swimming layer of this species is thought to be at a depth of 189.14–310.54 m. *T. albacares* is often found in fishing lines close to the surface. Mainly this species is generally found above 100 m deep layers which have sufficient oxygen content. In the deeper layers where oxygen levels are low, *T. albacares* individuals are rare, while juvenile *T. albacares* can be found clustered with *K. pelamis* and *T. obesus* in the surface layer. When they are mature, they tend to stay in this water layer. The distribution of *T. albacares* is in the temperature range of 18–31°C (Block & Stevens 2001).

Commented [A37]: Not clear. Please rephrase.

T. maccoyii was caught in a quantity of 7 individuals and all of them was caught on branch line 2, 3, and 4 but mostly found on branch line number 2 amounting to 42.85%. Tuna which has a large body size has a spreading area with temperatures between 5-20ºC and can be found at depths of up to 1,000 m. This high adaptation behavior to extreme temperatures is due to the fact that *T. maccoyii* can raise its blood temperature above water temperature using its muscle activity (Block & Stevens 2001). *T. maccoyii* caught in the present study were suspected to be spawning individuals.

Figure 9 is an illustration of the swimming depth layer of tuna from the results of the present study. It can be seen the difference in the depth of the swimming layer between the four species of tuna captured. The difference in the vertical distribution of tuna is caused by several factors, one of which is temperature (Pranata 2013). According to the results of research by Nugraha & Triharyuni (2009), in the Indian Ocean *T. obesus* was caught in the temperature range of 10.0-13.9ºC, *T. albacares* at 16.0-16.9ºC, and *T. alalunga* at 20.0-20.9ºC. In addition, differences in location or geographic location also affect the habitat of tuna.



Figure 9. Tuna swimming layer illustration.

Several previous research results also showed differences in the depth of the swimming layer of each type of tuna captured in the Indian Ocean waters. The results of Santoso (1999) research show that *T. obesus* can be found at a depth of 186-285 m, *T. albacares* at 149-185 m, and *T. alalunga* at a depth of 161-220 m. Nugraha & Triharyuni (2009) reported that *T. obesus* was caught at a depth of 300-399.9 m, *T. albacares* at 250.0-299.9 m, and *T. alalunga* at 150.0-199.9 m.

Figure 9 illustrates the overall depth rage of the tuna's swimming layer. *T. alalunga* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. obesus* was caught at the depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. albacares* was caught at the depth range of 41–327.48 m and *T. maccoyii* was caught at the depth rage of 189–0 310.54 m.

Conclusions

1. The operation of tuna fishing consists of two processes, namely in setting and hauling. The average setting time was around 5 hours depending on the catch quantity. Hauling was performed from 17.00 until early morning.
2. Overall catches consisted of *T. obesus*, *T. albacares*, *T. alalunga*, and *T. maccoyii*. The average hook rate was 0.18% with the highest hook rate at setting 64, with a hook rate of 0.79%.
3. Concerning the tuna swimming layer, *T. alalunga* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. obesus* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. albacares* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m and *T. maccoyii* was caught at a depth range of 189 - 310.54 m.
4. The main catch obtained in the present study consisted of 85 *T. obesus*, 45 *T. alalunga*, 23 *T. albaceras* (15%), and 7 (4%) *T. maccoyii*.

References

Ayodhyoa, A.U., 1981. Fishing Methods. Dewi Sri Foundation. Bogor.
Baskoro M.S., Wahyu R. I. 2004 Fish Migration and Distribution of IPB, Bogor

Beverly, S., Chapman, L., and Sokimi, W., 2003. Horizontal Longline Fishing Methods And Techniques. Multiprees, Noumea, New Caledonia.
Block B. A., Stevens ED. 2001 Tuna: Physiology, Ecology, And Evolution. California (US): Academic Press.
Djatikusumo E. W., 1997 Economically important fish biology. Jakarta. Indonesia.
Novianto D., Bahtiar A., 2011 Distribution of Tuna Based on Temperature and Depth in the Indian Ocean. 165-170
Novianto D., Nugraha B., 2014 Catch Composition of By-Catch and Target Species on Tuna Longline Fisheries in Eastern Indian Ocean. Marine Fisheries ISSN 2087-4235 Vol. 5, No. 2, November 2014. p. 119-127.
Nugraha B., Setyadji B., 2013 Longline Tuna Bycatch Management Policy in the Indian Ocean. Journal of Indonesian Fisheries Policy 5(2):67-71.
Nugraha B., Wahju R. I., Sondita M. F. A., Zulkarnain Z., 2010 Estimation of the Depth of a Longline Tuna Fishing Line in the Indian Ocean: The Yoshihara and Minilog Method. Journal of Indonesian Fisheries Policy 176(3):195-203.
Nugraha B., Trihayuni S., 2009 The Influence of the Depth Temperature of the Long line Tuna Fishing Rod on Tuna Catches in the Indian Ocean. Capture Fisheries Research Center. Jakarta.
Nurjanah et al, 2011. Knowledge and characteristics of fishery product raw materials. IPB Press. Bogor
Pranata S. A., 2013 Swimming Layer Depth of Tuna (*Thunnus sp*) Captured by Long-line Tuna in the Indian Ocean. IPB Bogor
Santoso H., 1999 A Study on the Relationship Between Temperature and Depth of the Fishing Line on Longline Tuna Catches in the Southern Waters of Java Island. [Thesis]. Bogor.
Sedana M. I. G., 2004 Fishing Season in Indonesia. Marine Fisheries Research Institute, DKP, Jakarta
Subani W., Barus H. R., 1989 Fishing Tools for Fish and Sea Shrimp in Indonesia. Marine Fisheries Training Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Jakarta.
Syarif B., Mulyadi E., 2004 Technical Guidelines for the Identification of Capture Fisheries Facilities: Long line Tuna (Longline Tuna). BPPI, Semarang.
Yoshihara T., 1951 Distribution of fishes caught by the long line. II. Vertical distribution. Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish. 16:370–374.

Commented [A38]: Please display all authors.

Commented [A39]: Please display full name of the journal.

Received: September 2020. Accepted: xxxx 2020. Published online: 2020.
Authors:
Bongbongan Kusmedy, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: bkhutapea@gmail.com
Jerry Hutajulu, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: jerryhutajulu15@gmail.com
Eddy Sugriwa Husen, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: sugriwastp@gmail.com
Heru Santoso, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: herustppsm15@gmail.com
Hari Prayitno, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: hariprayitno46@gmail.com
Rahmat Mualim, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: rahmatmuallim@gmail.com
Maman Hermawan, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: mhermawan60@gmail.com
Tonny E Kusumo, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, South Jakarta, Indonesia, e-mail: susilobagaswibisono@gmail.com
Erick Nugraha,
Aldhy Oktavildy,

Commented [A40]: Please display full name for this section. Thank you!

Commented [A41]: Please provide e-mail address.

Kembali | Arsipkan | Pindahkan | Hapus | Spam

Miklos Botha <miklosbotha@yahoo.com>
Kepada: erick nugraha

Rab, 30 Des 2020 jam 04.48

Dear Erick Nugraha,

there some minor issues remained unsolved concerning your 4th manuscript. Please see attachment.

Looking forward for your kind response!

Kind regards,

Editor AACL Bioflux
Senior Researcher
Miklos Botha, PhD.

Study of tunas (*Thunnus* spp.*)* swimming layer using tuna longliner in the Northern Indian Ocean, Indonesia

Bongbongan Kusmedy, Jerry Hutajulu, Eddy S. Husen, Heru Santoso, Hari Prayitno, Rahmat Mualim, Maman Hermawan, Tonny E. Kusumo, Erick Nugraha, Aldhy Oktavildy

Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, South Jakarta, Indonesia. Corresponding author: E. Nugraha, nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id

Abstract. Research on the depth of the tuna swimming layer was carried out from November 2016 to May 2017 in the Indian Ocean. The present study aims to obtain information about tuna long liner operating techniques, determine the composition of the main catch and determine the depth of the tuna swimming layer in the Indian Ocean. This research is a case study of tuna fishing activities on tuna long liner. The catch obtained in this study consisted of 85 Bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*), 45 Albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*), 23 Yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) (15%), and 7 (4%) Southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*). The swimming layer of *T. obesus*, *T. alalunga* and *T. albacares* was at a depth of 41–327.48 m., whilethe swimming layer of *T.maccoyii* was found at a depth of 189-310.54 m.
Key Words: thermocline layer, hook rate, basket system, South Savu Sea.

Introduction. Tuna longline is one of the most effective fishing gears to catch tuna. In addition, this fishing gear is selective to catch tuna (Nugraha & Setyadji 2013). Tuna longline is a combination of several lines with branch line and is equipped with buoys and hook (Subani & Barus 1989). Tuna longline consists of a series of main lines, and on the main line at a certain distance there are several branch lines that are shorter and smaller in diameter. At the end of the branch line is linked a hook with bait (Sjarif & Mulyadi 2004) (Figure 1). This bait includes sardine (*Sardenilla longiceps*), Indian mackerel (*Rastrelliger kanagurta*), scad mackerel (*Decapterus* spp.), bigeye scad (*Selar crumenophthalmus*), squid (*Loligo* spp.) and milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) (Santoso 1995). *C. chanos* is also used for longline fishing live bait, especially by Taiwanese vessels (Beverly et al 2003).

The distribution and abundance of tuna is strongly influenced by variations in temperature and water depth parameters. Information concerning the distribution of tuna based on temperature and water depth is very important to support the success of tuna fishing operations (Barata et al 2011).

Pelagic fish are fast swimming fish. Tuna is a fast swimmer that differs in epipelagic waters (>500 m) and can swim as far as 55 km every day (Nurjana et al 2014). Tuna fish live by navigating the world's great oceans with a swimming speed of up to 50 km hour^{-1} (Baskoro & Wahyu 2004).

The interaction between target fish and bycatch is strongly influenced by the swimming layer (Novianto & Nugraha 2014). The depth of the swimming layer of tuna is influenced by temperature and salinity. The depth of the hook can be determined by changing the distance between two adjacent buoys. In addition, there are still other ways, namely by changing the length of the tuna longline such as main lines, branch line and buoy lines (Djatikusumo 1997).



Figure 1. Tuna longline fishing (https://ikantunaku.wordpress.com).

Fishing ground. The fishing areas in Indonesian waters for tuna are Banda Sea, Maluku Sea, waters of south Java Island continuing to the east, as well as south of Sumatra waters, around Andaman and Nicobar, waters of north Irian Jaya, south of Timor waters and so on (Ayodhyoa 1981).

Generally, most pelagic fish rise to the surface before sunset. After sunset, these fish spread out on the water column, and sink into deeper layers after sunrise. Demersal fish usually spend the day at the bottom and then rise and spread in the water column at night (Reddy 1993).

The distribution of tuna's is influenced by several factors, two of which are temperature and the swimming layer of tuna (Nakamura 1969). Sedana (2004) reported parameters of the fishing area according to the target catch species as specified in Table 1.

Table 1

Fishing area parameters according to the target catch species (Sedana 2004)

Species	*Depth (m)*	*Temperature (ºC)*
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	50-600, thermocline layer	10-17
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	50-250, top and middle layers	18-28
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	50-600, thermocline layer	10-17
Blue Marlin (*Makaira nigricans*)	50-150, top and middle layers	18-22

Fishing season. The fishing season for several types of tuna in Indian Ocean is generally thought to last for six months (Sedana 2004).

Table 2

Season of Indian Ocean tuna fishing (Sedana 2004)

Species	*Season (month)*	*Peak*
Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*)	January - April	January
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	November - January	December
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	February - June	June
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	June - August	June
Other large pelagic species	July - December	October

Swimming layer. The distribution of tuna fish (based on depth of water) is most influenced by swimming layer and temperature (Nugraha et al 2010). Several previous research results also showed differences in the depth of the swimming layer of each type of tuna obtained in the Indian Ocean. *T. obesus* can be found at a depth of 186-285 m, *T. albacares* at 149-185 m, and *T. alalunga* at a depth of 161-220 m (Santoso 1999). *T.*

obesus was caught at a depth of 300-399.9 m, *T. albacares* 250-299.9 m and *T. alalunga* at 150-199.9 m (Nugraha & Triharyuni 2009).

The purpose of the present study was to find out the types of tuna caught in Indonesian waters and to know the depth of the swimming layer in the Nothern Indian Ocean.

Material and Method. The research was carried out from November 2016 to May 2017 using longliners operating in the Indian Ocean (Figure 2). The equipments used in this research were: cameras, stationery, calculators, laptops, meters, and tuna caught as research objects.

Picture. 2 Longliners in Bali, Indonesia.

At the time of research, the catching system used two basket systems, namely basket with thirteen branch lines and basket with six branch lines.

The methods used in data collection consisted of carrying out activities on longliner fishing vessel and using several methods, namely: observation, interview, and literature study.

Data analysis. The data analysis was performed using descriptive method, namely by reducing the data obtained in the field and comparing it with literature studies. Data and information obtained during the implementation of the study was analyzed by descriptive analysis method and qualitative analysis methods. Formula 1 and formula 2 below were used to calculate the depth of the fishing line using the Yoshihara method (1951).

$$D = fl + bl + {}^{1}/_{2}BK\left\{\sqrt{(1 + Cotg^{2}\sigma)} - \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2j}{n}\right)^{2} + Cotg^{2}\sigma}\right\}$$

Where:
D - depth of hook (m)
Fl - length of the float line
bl - length of branch line
BK - length of play line in 1 basket (m)
j - number of branch line position
n - number of branch lines in 1 basket + 1

K	θ	Cotg²θ
0.47136	79	0.03778
0.48657	78	0.04777
0.51698	77	0.05330
0.60821	69	0.14232
0.54739	75	0.07127
0.51698	77	0.05330
0.63862	66	0.18960
0.77927	54	0.52786
0.60821	69	0.14232
0.56674	73	0.09079

The value of the angle σ was obtained first by finding the curvature coefficient of the main line.

$$K = \frac{Vk\ x\ Ts}{BK\ x \sum b}$$

Where:
K - coefficient of curvature
Vk - ship speed (km h^{-1})
Ts - setting time (hours)
b - number of baskets

Formula 3 below was used to calculate the catch rate in the ratio of the catch to the number of hooks.

$$Hook\ rate = \frac{Number\ of\ fish\ caught/trip}{Number\ of\ hooks\ attached\ /\ trip}\ x\ 100$$

Fishing ground. The area of operation during the voyage was area 1 of the distribution of *T. maccoyii* fishing areas, which is around the south of the islands of Bali, Lombok and Sumbawa (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Longliner fishing ground.

Results and Discussion

Basket with thirteen branch lines. From the 64 settings, there were 48 settings using basket with thirteen branch lines (Figure 4), the setting time started at 06.00 central Indonesia time (WITA) until it finishes average five hours per setting time, setting using basket with thirteen branch lines is done when the moon is in the dark moon (not in a full moon) and when hauling is at 17.00 central Indonesia time (WITA) until the end of the hauling time is 9-12 hours depending on the weather and the main line is disconnected or not, the more main line decisions the longer the hauling process.



Figure 4. Sketch of basket with 13 branch lines.

The main catch using basket with 13 branch lines was 90 fish, 56.25% of the total main catch. The tuna caught were *T. alalunga*, *T. obesus*, *T. albacares* and *T. maccoyii*.

Basket with six branch lines. From 64 times of the overall settings, for 16 times basket with six branch lines was used (Figure 5). Unlike the thirteen branch line basket, this setting is done at 17.00 central Indonesia time (WITA) until it's finished, the setting takes 6-7 hours because the speed of the main line throwing the speed is slightly reduced when using this basket, because the hooks does not sink too deep due to chasing tuna that swim on the surface of the water, this basket is usually used at full moon, 3 days before full moon and 3 days after full moon.



Figure 5. Sketch of basket with 6 branch lines.

The main catch using a basket with six branch lines consisted of 70 fish, 43.75% of the total main catch. From the two types of basket, the highest catches were obtained in basket with 6 branch lines viewed from the catch comparison factor with the number of settings perspective.

Catch composition. The catch obtained was grouped into main catch and bycatch. The main catch was considered to consist of tuna species, while bycatch consisted of any other species.

The total catch obtained during 91 days of fishing operation or 64 settings consisted of 160 fish. The main catch obtained included *T. alalunga*, *T. obesus*, *T. albacares* and *T. maccoyii*. Comparison of the amount of catch can be seen in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Comparison of the amount of catches.

The total catch was dominated by *T. obesus* with a total catch of 90 fish (56%), 41 *T. alalunga* (26.54%), 23 *T. albacares*. (14.20%) while the lowest catch was recorded for *T. maccoyii* amounting 6 fish (4%).

Catch composition of based on size. The tuna species caught had different lengths according to species. The length measurement divided the catch into several categories, namely size 50-100 cm, 100-150 cm, and >150 cm. The distribution of the catch based on length can be seen in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Length of catch composition.

There were 35 *T. alalunga* caught at 100-150 cm size or 44.30%. *T. obesus* was caught mostly at >150 cm in size as many as 52 individuals or about 70.27%. *T. albacares* was mostly caught at a size of >150 cm as many as 16 fish or 21.62%. All individuals of *T. maccoyii* caught were all, over 150 cm amounting 6 fish or 4%.

However, all the catch has a size of more than 150 cm which means it was in the feasible catch category. The feasible catch category implies individuals over 120 cm (Pranata 2013). *T. maccoyii* in Northern Indian Ocean was similar with those captured in the Northern Hemisphere, only smaller in size (Pranata 2013).

Catch results based on fishing position. The first basket uses thirteen branch lines to catch tuna whose fishing area is deeper, usually performed when it is not a full moon. The second basket uses six branch lines to catch tuna swimming to the surface of the water, six branch lines are used when the full moon is around 7 days.

a. Basket with 13 branch lines

The composition of the catch based on the number of hooks can be seen in the Table 3.

Table 3

Catch based on 13 fishing lines positions

Species	Branch lines							Fish (ind.)
	1, 13	2, 12	3, 11	4, 10	5, 9	6, 8	7	
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	2	1	3	3	7	3	3	22
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	6	6	6	11	12	8	6	55
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	2	0	2	2	2	3	0	11
Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*)	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Number (fish)	10	7	11	16	21	16	9	90
Number hook	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150	5,075	
Hook rate (%)	0.0985	0.0690	0.1084	0.1576	0.2069	0.1576	0.1773	

Based on the Table 3, *T. alalunga* and *T. obesus* were mostly caught on branch line 5 and 9. *T. albacares* was almost evenly caught in each branch lines, but was not caught on lure numbers 2, 7 and 12. *T. maccoyii* was caught on hook number 6 and number 8 only.

b. Basket with 6 branch lines

The composition of the catch based on the number of 6 hooks can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4

Catch based on position of 6 branch lines

Species	Branch lines			Fish (ind.)
	1,6	2,5	3,4	
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	6	6	7	19
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	10	11	14	35
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	4	4	4	12
Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*)	1	2	1	4
Fish (individuals)	21	23	26	70
Number hook	7,180	7,180	7,180	
Hook rate (%)	0.292	0.320	0.362	

Based on Table 4, *T. alalunga* was mostly caught on fishing lines 3 and 4, and were caught evenly on all fishing lines. *T. obesus* was caught mostly in branch line number 3 and 4, and was caught almost evenly on all fishing lines. *T. albacares* was captured evenly on all hooks. *T. maccoyii* was mostly caught on line 2 and 5 and evenly caught on the other hooks.

Hook rate. The hook rate is a real calculation in quantity proportional to the number of fish caught at one time, for tuna longline itself calculated for 100 hooks. So this hook rate determines whether the area still has good fishing potential or not, so that future availability can be calculated.

Figure 8 shows the result of tuna hook rate for 64 settings, these results are for all the four tuna species captured *T. obesus*, *T. albacares*, *T. alalunga*, and *T. maccoyii*. The results showed that the average hook rate was 0.18% with the highest hook rate at setting 64, with a hook rate of 0.79%.



Figure 8. Percentage of hook rate.

Estimated depth of tuna swimming layer

a. Basket with 13 branch lines

The depth of the fishing line in operation with 13 branch lines has different depths as it is shown in Table 5.

Table 5

Calculation of the depth for each fishing line

Branch line number	Depth (m)		
	Upper limit	Lower limit	Average
1, 13	41.29	56.87	44.50
2, 12	80.35	113.10	87.49
3, 11	116.25	168.30	128.25
4, 10	147.65	221.56	165.58
5, 9	172.70	270.82	197.47
6, 8	189.14	310.54	220.47
7	194.10	327.49	229.32

b. Basket with 6 branch lines

The depth of the branch lines in operation with 6 hooks has different depths, as it is shown in Table 6.

Table 6

The results of the calculation of the depth of each fishing line number

Branch line number	Depth (m)		
	Upper limit	Lower limit	Average
1, 6	41.76	52.99	44.71
2, 5	75.47	103.72	82.38
3, 4	95.28	145.11	106.19

Swimming layer. The spread of tuna in the sea is determined by two factors, namely internal factors and external factors. Internal factors include genetics, age, size and behavior. Different genetics causes differences in morphology, physiological responses, and adaptability to the environment. External factors are environmental factors, including oceanographic parameters such as temperature, salinity, density, depth of the thermocline layer, currents, water mass circulation, oxygen and food abundance. The swimming depth of tuna varies depending on the species.

In general, tuna is caught at a depth of 0-400 meters. The preferred water salinity ranges from 32 to 35 ppt or in oceanic waters and water temperature ranges of 17-31ºC (Pranata 2013).

Tuna catches based on the position of the fishing line (Table 3 and Table 4) and the calculation results of each fishing line number depth value (Table 5 and Table 6) obtained can be used as material for estimating the depth of the swimming layer for each species of tuna.

T. alalunga was caught in all branch lines, the majority was caught on branch line 5 and 9 (Table 3) as much as 31.82%. It is suspected that *T. alalunga* swimming layer is at a depth of 172.70-270.82 m. The distribution of *T. alalunga* is strongly influenced by temperature and this tuna prefers lower temperatures. According to Nugraha & Triharyuni (2009), the distribution of *T. alalunga* is in a temperature range of 14-24ºC with a catching temperature range of 17-24ºC. At juvenile stage, *T. alalunga* prefers habitat in the area around the equator and its swimming layer is near the surface layer. After maturity (>95 cm), begins to move to a deeper layer (Block & Stevens 2001).

T. obesus catches were recorded almost evenly across the hooks. The depth of the swimming layer of this species is estimated to be at a depth of 41.30–327.49 m, the majority being caught at 172.70–270.82 m depth interval (branch line 5 and 8). *T. obesus* are often caught on deeper branch lines (no. 4, 5, and 6), because *T. obesus* prefer deep water with cooler temperatures (Block & Stevens 2001). The swimming area for *T. obesus* is located just below the thermocline layer, so it is advisable to use the deep sea tuna longline type (Santoso 1999).

T. albacares caught on all hooks consisted of 23 individuals (81.81%). The swimming layer of this species is thought to be at a depth of 189.14–310.54 m. *T.*

albacares is often found in fishing lines close to the surface. Mainly this species is generally found above 100 m deep layers which have sufficient oxygen content. In the deeper layers where oxygen levels are low, *T. albacares* individuals are rare, while juvenile *T. albacares* can be found clustered with *K. pelamis* and *T. obesus* in the surface layer. When they are mature, they tend to stay in this water layer. The distribution of *T. albacares* is in the temperature range of 18–31°C (Block & Stevens 2001).

T. maccoyii was caught in a quantity of 7 individuals and all of them was caught on branch line 2, 3, and 4 but mostly found on branch line number 2 amounting to 42.85%. Tuna which has a large body size has a spreading area with temperatures between 5-20ºC and can be found at depths of up to 1,000 m. This high adaptation behavior to extreme temperatures is due to the fact that *T. maccoyii* can raise its blood temperature above water temperature using its muscle activity (Block & Stevens 2001). *T. maccoyii* caught in the present study were suspected to be spawning individuals.

Figure 9 is an illustration of the swimming depth layer of tuna from the results of the present study. It can be seen the difference in the depth of the swimming layer between the four species of tuna captured. The difference in the vertical distribution of tuna is caused by several factors, one of which is temperature (Pranata 2013). According to the results of research by Nugraha & Triharyuni (2009), in the Indian Ocean *T. obesus* was caught in the temperature range of 10.0-13.9ºC, *T. albacares* at 16.0-16.9ºC, and *T. alalunga* at 20.0-20.9ºC. In addition, differences in location or geographic location also affect the habitat of tuna.



Figure 9. Tuna swimming layer illustration.

Several previous research results also showed differences in the depth of the swimming layer of each type of tuna captured in the Indian Ocean waters. The results of Santoso (1999) research show that *T. obesus* can be found at a depth of 186-285 m, *T. albacares* at 149-185 m, and *T. alalunga* at a depth of 161-220 m. Nugraha & Triharyuni (2009) reported that *T. obesus* was caught at a depth of 300-399.9 m, *T. albacares* at 250.0-299.9 m, and *T. alalunga* at 150.0-199.9 m.

Figure 9 illustrates the overall depth rage of the tuna's swimming layer. *T. alalunga* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. obesus* was caught at the depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. albacares* was caught at the depth range of 41–327.48 m and *T. maccoyii* was caught at the depth rage of 189–0 310.54 m.

Conclusions

1. The operation of tuna fishing consists of two processes, namely in setting and hauling. The average setting time was around 5 hours depending on the catch quantity. Hauling was performed from 17.00 until early morning.
2. Overall catches consisted of *T. obesus*, *T. albacares*, *T. alalunga*, and *T. maccoyii*. The average hook rate was 0.18% with the highest hook rate at setting 64, with a hook rate of 0.79%.
3. Concerning the tuna swimming layer, *T. alalunga* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. obesus* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. albacares* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m and *T. maccoyii* was caught at a depth range of 189 - 310.54 m.
4. The main catch obtained in the present study consisted of 85 *T. obesus*, 45 *T. alalunga*, 23 *T. albacares* (15%), and 7 (4%) *T. maccoyii*.

References

Ayodhyoa A. U., 1981 Fishing methods. Dewi Sri Foundation, Bogor, Indonesia, XX p.

Barata A., Novianto D., Bahtiar A., 2011 Distribution of tuna based on temperature and depth in the Indian Ocean. Indonesian Marine Science Journal, Diponegoro University, Semarang, 16(3):165-170.

Baskoro M. S., Wahyu R. I. 2004 Fish migration and distribution. Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor, Indonesia, 152 p.

Beverly, S., Chapman, L., Sokimi W., 2003 Horizontal longline fishing methods and techniques: a manual for fisherman. Multiprees, Noumea, New Caledonia, 129 p.

Block B. A., Stevens E. D., 2001 Tuna: physiology, ecology, and evolution. Academic Press, California, US, 468 p.

Djatikusumo E. W., 1997 Economically important fish biology. Jakarta, Indonesia, XX p.

Nakamura H., 1969 Tuna distribution and migration. Fishing News Book Ltd, London.

Novianto D., Nugraha B., 2014 Catch composition of by-catch and target species on tuna longline fisheries in Eastern Indian Ocean. Marine Fisheries 5(2):119-127.

Nugraha B., Setyadji B., 2013 Longline tuna bycatch management policy in the Indian Ocean. Journal of Indonesian Fisheries Policy 5(2):67-71.

Nugraha B., Wahju R. I., Sondita M. F. A., Zulkarnain Z., 2010 Estimation of the depth of a longline tuna fishing line in the Indian Ocean: The Yoshihara and Minilog Method. Journal of Indonesian Fisheries Policy 176(3):195-203.

Nugraha B., Trihayuni S., 2009 The influence of the depth temperature of the long line tuna fishing rod on tuna catches in the Indian Ocean. Capture Fisheries Research Center, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Nurjanah, Abdullah A., Kustyariyah, 2014 Knowledge and characteristics of fishery product raw materials. IPB Press, Bogor, Indonesia, XX p.

Pranata S. A., 2013 Swimming layer depth of tuna (*Thunnus* sp.) captured by tuna long-line in the Indian Ocean. IPB Bogor, Bogor, Indonesia, XX p.

Reddy M. P. M., 1993 Influence of the various oceanographic parameters on the abundance of fish catch. Proceeding of International workshop on Apllication of Satellite Remote Sensing for Identifying and Forecasting Potential Fishing Zones in Developing Countries, India, pp. 7-11.

Santoso H., 1995 The effect of different types of bait on tuna catch results. Thesis, Department of Fishery Resources Utilization. Faculty of Fisheries, Bogor Agriculture Institute, Bogor, Indonesia, XX p.

Santoso H., 1999 A study on the relationship between temperature and depth of the fishing line on longline tuna catches in the Southern waters of Java Island. Thesis, XXX, Bogor, XX p.

Commented [A1]: Please display pages.

Commented [A2]: Please display pages.

Commented [A3]: Please display pages.

Commented [A4]: Please display pages.

Commented [A5]: What kind of thesis BSc, MSc, PhD?

Commented [A6]: Please display pages.

Commented [A7]: What kind of thesis BSc, MSc, PhD?

Commented [A8]: Please provide institute.

Commented [A9]: Please display pages.

Sedana M. I. G., 2004 Fishing season in Indonesia. Marine Fisheries Research Institute, DKP, Jakarta, Indonesia, XX p.
Sjarif B., Mulyadi E., 2004 Technical guidelines for the identification of capture fisheries facilities: longline tuna (Longline Tuna). BPPI, Semarang, Indonesia, XX p.
Subani W., Barus H. R., 1989 Fishing tools for fish and sea shrimp in Indonesia. Marine Fisheries Training Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Jakarta, Indonesia, XX p.
Yoshihara T., 1951 Distribution of fishes caught by the long line. II. Vertical distribution. Bulletin of the Japanese Society of Scientific Fisheries 16:370–374.
*** https://ikantunaku.wordpress.com

Commented [A10]: Please display pages.

Commented [A11]: ?

Commented [A12]: Please display pages.

Commented [A13]: Please display pages.

Received: 23 September 2020. Accepted: xxxx 2020. Published online: 2020.

Authors:
Bongbongan Kusmedy, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: bkhutapea@gmail.com
Jerry Hutajulu, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: jerryhutajulu15@gmail.com
Eddy Sugriwa Husen, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: sugriwastp@gmail.com
Heru Santoso, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: herustppsm15@gmail.com
Hari Prayitno, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: hariprayitno46@gmail.com
Rahmat Mualim, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: rahmatmuallim@gmail.com
Maman Hermawan, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: mhermawan60@gmail.com
Tonny Efijanto Kusumo, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: susilobagaswibisono@gmail.com
Erick Nugraha, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id
Aldhy Oktavildy, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: oktavildy@gmail.com

Kembali Arsipkan Pindahkan Hapus Spam

erick nugraha <nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id>
Kepada: Miklos Botha

Rab, 30 Des 2020 jam 20.04

Dear Sir,
i send my edited 4th journal (study of tunas...)

Thank You

Tampilkan pesan asli

Nugraha 4 ....docx
478.9kB

Study of tunas (*Thunnus* spp.) swimming layer using tuna longliner in the Northern Indian Ocean, Indonesia

Bongbongan Kusmedy, Jerry Hutajulu, Eddy S. Husen, Heru Santoso, Hari Prayitno, Rahmat Mualim, Maman Hermawan, Tonny E. Kusumo, Erick Nugraha, Aldhy Oktavildy

Faculty of Fishing Technology, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, South Jakarta, Indonesia. Corresponding author: E. Nugraha, nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id

Abstract. Research on the depth of the tuna swimming layer was carried out from November 2016 to May 2017 in the Indian Ocean. The present study aims to obtain information about tuna long liner operating techniques, determine the composition of the main catch and determine the depth of the tuna swimming layer in the Indian Ocean. This research is a case study of tuna fishing activities on tuna long liner. The catch obtained in this study consisted of 85 Bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*), 45 Albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*), 23 Yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) (15%), and 7 (4%) Southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*). The swimming layer of *T. obesus*, *T. alalunga* and *T. albacares* was at a depth of 41–327.48 m., whilethe swimming layer of *T.maccoyii* was found at a depth of 189-310.54 m.
Key Words: thermocline layer, hook rate, basket system, South Savu Sea.

Introduction. Tuna longline is one of the most effective fishing gears to catch tuna. In addition, this fishing gear is selective to catch tuna (Nugraha & Setyadji 2013). Tuna longline is a combination of several lines with branch line and is equipped with buoys and hook (Subani & Barus 1989). Tuna longline consists of a series of main lines, and on the main line at a certain distance there are several branch lines that are shorter and smaller in diameter. At the end of the branch line is linked a hook with bait (Sjarif & Mulyadi 2004) (Figure 1). This bait includes sardine (*Sardenilla longiceps*), Indian mackerel (*Rastrelliger kanagurta*), scad mackerel (*Decapterus* spp.), bigeye scad (*Selar crumenophthalmus*), squid (*Loligo* spp.) and milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) (Santoso 1995). *C. chanos* is also used for longline fishing live bait, especially by Taiwanese vessels (Beverly et al 2003).

The distribution and abundance of tuna is strongly influenced by variations in temperature and water depth parameters. Information concerning the distribution of tuna based on temperature and water depth is very important to support the success of tuna fishing operations (Barata et al 2011).

Pelagic fish are fast swimming fish. Tuna is a fast swimmer that differs in epipelagic waters (>500 m) and can swim as far as 55 km every day (Nurjana et al 2014). Tuna fish live by navigating the world's great oceans with a swimming speed of up to 50 km hour^{-1} (Baskoro & Wahyu 2004).

The interaction between target fish and bycatch is strongly influenced by the swimming layer (Novianto & Nugraha 2014). The depth of the swimming layer of tuna is influenced by temperature and salinity. The depth of the hook can be determined by changing the distance between two adjacent buoys. In addition, there are still other ways, namely by changing the length of the tuna longline such as main lines, branch line and buoy lines (Djatikusumo 1977).



Figure 1. Tuna longline fishing (https://ikantunaku.wordpress.com).

Fishing ground. The fishing areas in Indonesian waters for tuna are Banda Sea, Maluku Sea, waters of south Java Island continuing to the east, as well as south of Sumatra waters, around Andaman and Nicobar, waters of north Irian Jaya, south of Timor waters and so on (Ayodhyoa 1981).

Generally, most pelagic fish rise to the surface before sunset. After sunset, these fish spread out on the water column, and sink into deeper layers after sunrise. Demersal fish usually spend the day at the bottom and then rise and spread in the water column at night (Reddy 1993).

The distribution of tuna's is influenced by several factors, two of which are temperature and the swimming layer of tuna (Nakamura 1969). Sedana (2004) reported parameters of the fishing area according to the target catch species as specified in Table 1.

Table 1

Fishing area parameters according to the target catch species (Sedana 2004)

Species	*Depth (m)*	*Temperature (ºC)*
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	50-600, thermocline layer	10-17
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	50-250, top and middle layers	18-28
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	50-600, thermocline layer	10-17
Blue Marlin (*Makaira nigricans*)	50-150, top and middle layers	18-22

Fishing season. The fishing season for several types of tuna in Indian Ocean is generally thought to last for six months (Sedana 2004).

Table 2

Season of Indian Ocean tuna fishing (Sedana 2004)

Species	*Season (month)*	*Peak*
Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*)	January - April	January
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	November - January	December
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	February - June	June
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	June - August	June
Other large pelagic species	July - December	October

Swimming layer. The distribution of tuna fish (based on depth of water) is most influenced by swimming layer and temperature (Nugraha et al 2010). Several previous research results also showed differences in the depth of the swimming layer of each type of tuna obtained in the Indian Ocean. *T. obesus* can be found at a depth of 186-285 m, *T. albacares* at 149-185 m, and *T. alalunga* at a depth of 161-220 m (Santoso 1999). *T.*

obesus was caught at a depth of 300-399.9 m, *T. albacares* 250-299.9 m and *T. alalunga* at 150-199.9 m (Nugraha & Triharyuni 2009).

The purpose of the present study was to find out the types of tuna caught in Indonesian waters and to know the depth of the swimming layer in the Nothern Indian Ocean.

Material and Method. The research was carried out from November 2016 to May 2017 using longliners operating in the Indian Ocean (Figure 2). The equipments used in this research were: cameras, stationery, calculators, laptops, meters, and tuna caught as research objects.

Picture. 2 Longliners in Bali, Indonesia.

At the time of research, the catching system used two basket systems, namely basket with thirteen branch lines and basket with six branch lines.

The methods used in data collection consisted of carrying out activities on longliner fishing vessel and using several methods, namely: observation, interview, and literature study.

Data analysis. The data analysis was performed using descriptive method, namely by reducing the data obtained in the field and comparing it with literature studies. Data and information obtained during the implementation of the study was analyzed by descriptive analysis method and qualitative analysis methods. Formula 1 and formula 2 below were used to calculate the depth of the fishing line using the Yoshihara method (1951).

$$D = fl + bl + {}^{1}/_{2}BK \left\{ \sqrt{(1 + Cotg^2\sigma)} - \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2j}{n}\right)^2 + Cotg^2\sigma} \right\}$$

Where:

D - depth of hook (m)
Fl - length of the float line
bl - length of branch line
BK - length of play line in 1 basket (m)
j - number of branch line position
n - number of branch lines in 1 basket + 1

K	θ	$Cotg^2θ$
0.47136	79	0.03778
0.48657	78	0.04777
0.51698	77	0.05330
0.60821	69	0.14232
0.54739	75	0.07127
0.51698	77	0.05330
0.63862	66	0.18960
0.77927	54	0.52786
0.60821	69	0.14232
0.56674	73	0.09079

The value of the angle σ was obtained first by finding the curvature coefficient of the main line.

$$K = \frac{Vk\ x\ Ts}{BK\ x \sum b}$$

Where:

K - coefficient of curvature

Vk - ship speed (km h^{-1})

Ts - setting time (hours)

b - number of baskets

Formula 3 below was used to calculate the catch rate in the ratio of the catch to the number of hooks.

$$Hook\ rate = \frac{Number\ of\ fish\ caught/trip}{Number\ of\ hooks\ attached\ /\ trip} x\ 100$$

Fishing ground. The area of operation during the voyage was area 1 of the distribution of *T. maccoyii* fishing areas, which is around the south of the islands of Bali, Lombok and Sumbawa (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Longliner fishing ground.

Results and Discussion

Basket with thirteen branch lines. From the 64 settings, there were 48 settings using basket with thirteen branch lines (Figure 4), the setting time started at 06.00 central Indonesia time (WITA) until it finishes average five hours per setting time, setting using basket with thirteen branch lines is done when the moon is in the dark moon (not in a full moon) and when hauling is at 17.00 central Indonesia time (WITA) until the end of the hauling time is 9-12 hours depending on the weather and the main line is disconnected or not, the more main line decisions the longer the hauling process.



Figure 4. Sketch of basket with 13 branch lines.

The main catch using basket with 13 branch lines was 90 fish, 56.25% of the total main catch. The tuna caught were *T. alalunga*, *T. obesus*, *T. albacares* and *T. maccoyii*.

Basket with six branch lines. From 64 times of the overall settings, for 16 times basket with six branch lines was used (Figure 5). Unlike the thirteen branch line basket, this setting is done at 17.00 central Indonesia time (WITA) until it's finished, the setting takes 6-7 hours because the speed of the main line throwing the speed is slightly reduced when using this basket, because the hooks does not sink too deep due to chasing tuna that swim on the surface of the water, this basket is usually used at full moon, 3 days before full moon and 3 days after full moon.



Figure 5. Sketch of basket with 6 branch lines.

The main catch using a basket with six branch lines consisted of 70 fish, 43.75% of the total main catch. From the two types of basket, the highest catches were obtained in basket with 6 branch lines viewed from the catch comparison factor with the number of settings perspective.

Catch composition. The catch obtained was grouped into main catch and bycatch. The main catch was considered to consist of tuna species, while bycatch consisted of any other species.

The total catch obtained during 91 days of fishing operation or 64 settings consisted of 160 fish. The main catch obtained included *T. alalunga*, *T. obesus*, *T. albacares* and *T. maccoyii*. Comparison of the amount of catch can be seen in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Comparison of the amount of catches.

The total catch was dominated by *T. obesus* with a total catch of 90 fish (56%), 41 *T. alalunga* (26.54%), 23 *T. albacares*. (14.20%) while the lowest catch was recorded for *T. maccoyii* amounting 6 fish (4%).

Catch composition of based on size. The tuna species caught had different lengths according to species. The length measurement divided the catch into several categories, namely size 50-100 cm, 100-150 cm, and >150 cm. The distribution of the catch based on length can be seen in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Length of catch composition.

There were 35 *T. alalunga* caught at 100-150 cm size or 44.30%. *T. obesus* was caught mostly at >150 cm in size as many as 52 individuals or about 70.27%. *T. albacares* was mostly caught at a size of >150 cm as many as 16 fish or 21.62%. All individuals of *T. maccoyii* caught were all, over 150 cm amounting 6 fish or 4%.

However, all the catch has a size of more than 150 cm which means it was in the feasible catch category. The feasible catch category implies individuals over 120 cm (Pranata 2013). *T. maccoyii* in Northern Indian Ocean was similar with those captured in the Northern Hemisphere, only smaller in size (Pranata 2013).

Catch results based on fishing position. The first basket uses thirteen branch lines to catch tuna whose fishing area is deeper, usually performed when it is not a full moon. The second basket uses six branch lines to catch tuna swimming to the surface of the water, six branch lines are used when the full moon is around 7 days.

a. Basket with 13 branch lines

The composition of the catch based on the number of hooks can be seen in the Table 3.

Table 3

Catch based on 13 fishing lines positions

Species	Branch lines							Fish
	1, 13	2, 12	3, 11	4, 10	5, 9	6, 8	7	(ind.)
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	2	1	3	3	7	3	3	22
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	6	6	6	11	12	8	6	55
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	2	0	2	2	2	3	0	11
Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*)	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Number (fish)	10	7	11	16	21	16	9	90
Number hook	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150	5,075	
Hook rate (%)	0.0985	0.0690	0.1084	0.1576	0.2069	0.1576	0.1773	

Based on the Table 3, *T. alalunga* and *T. obesus* were mostly caught on branch line 5 and 9. *T. albacares* was almost evenly caught in each branch lines, but was not caught on lure numbers 2, 7 and 12. *T. maccoyii* was caught on hook number 6 and number 8 only.

b. Basket with 6 branch lines

The composition of the catch based on the number of 6 hooks can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4

Catch based on position of 6 branch lines

Species	Branch lines 1,6	Branch lines 2,5	Branch lines 3,4	Fish (ind.)
Albacore (*T. alalunga*)	6	6	7	19
Bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*)	10	11	14	35
Yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*)	4	4	4	12
Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*)	1	2	1	4
Fish (individuals)	21	23	26	70
Number hook	7,180	7,180	7,180	
Hook rate (%)	0.292	0.320	0.362	

Based on Table 4, *T. alalunga* was mostly caught on fishing lines 3 and 4, and were caught evenly on all fishing lines. *T. obesus* was caught mostly in branch line number 3 and 4, and was caught almost evenly on all fishing lines. *T. albacares* was captured evenly on all hooks. *T. maccoyii* was mostly caught on line 2 and 5 and evenly caught on the other hooks.

Hook rate. The hook rate is a real calculation in quantity proportional to the number of fish caught at one time, for tuna longline itself calculated for 100 hooks. So this hook rate determines whether the area still has good fishing potential or not, so that future availability can be calculated.

Figure 8 shows the result of tuna hook rate for 64 settings, these results are for all the four tuna species captured *T. obesus*, *T. albacares*, *T. alalunga*, and *T. maccoyii*. The results showed that the average hook rate was 0.18% with the highest hook rate at setting 64, with a hook rate of 0.79%.



Figure 8. Percentage of hook rate.

Estimated depth of tuna swimming layer

a. Basket with 13 branch lines

The depth of the fishing line in operation with 13 branch lines has different depths as it is shown in Table 5.

Table 5

Calculation of the depth for each fishing line

Branch line number	Depth (m)		
	Upper limit	Lower limit	Average
1, 13	41.29	56.87	44.50
2, 12	80.35	113.10	87.49
3, 11	116.25	168.30	128.25
4, 10	147.65	221.56	165.58
5, 9	172.70	270.82	197.47
6, 8	189.14	310.54	220.47
7	194.10	327.49	229.32

b. Basket with 6 branch lines

The depth of the branch lines in operation with 6 hooks has different depths, as it is shown in Table 6.

Table 6

The results of the calculation of the depth of each fishing line number

Branch line number	Depth (m)		
	Upper limit	Lower limit	Average
1, 6	41.76	52.99	44.71
2, 5	75.47	103.72	82.38
3, 4	95.28	145.11	106.19

Swimming layer. The spread of tuna in the sea is determined by two factors, namely internal factors and external factors. Internal factors include genetics, age, size and behavior. Different genetics causes differences in morphology, physiological responses, and adaptability to the environment. External factors are environmental factors, including oceanographic parameters such as temperature, salinity, density, depth of the thermocline layer, currents, water mass circulation, oxygen and food abundance. The swimming depth of tuna varies depending on the species.

In general, tuna is caught at a depth of 0-400 meters. The preferred water salinity ranges from 32 to 35 ppt or in oceanic waters and water temperature ranges of 17-31ºC (Pranata 2013).

Tuna catches based on the position of the fishing line (Table 3 and Table 4) and the calculation results of each fishing line number depth value (Table 5 and Table 6) obtained can be used as material for estimating the depth of the swimming layer for each species of tuna.

T. alalunga was caught in all branch lines, the majority was caught on branch line 5 and 9 (Table 3) as much as 31.82%. It is suspected that *T. alalunga* swimming layer is at a depth of 172.70-270.82 m. The distribution of *T. alalunga* is strongly influenced by temperature and this tuna prefers lower temperatures. According to Nugraha & Triharyuni (2009), the distribution of *T. alalunga* is in a temperature range of 14-24ºC with a catching temperature range of 17-24ºC. At juvenile stage, *T. alalunga* prefers habitat in the area around the equator and its swimming layer is near the surface layer. After maturity (>95 cm), begins to move to a deeper layer (Block & Stevens 2001).

T. obesus catches were recorded almost evenly across the hooks. The depth of the swimming layer of this species is estimated to be at a depth of 41.30–327.49 m, the majority being caught at 172.70–270.82 m depth interval (branch line 5 and 8). *T. obesus* are often caught on deeper branch lines (no. 4, 5, and 6), because *T. obesus* prefer deep water with cooler temperatures (Block & Stevens 2001). The swimming area for *T. obesus* is located just below the thermocline layer, so it is advisable to use the deep sea tuna longline type (Santoso 1999).

T. albacares caught on all hooks consisted of 23 individuals (81.81%). The swimming layer of this species is thought to be at a depth of 189.14–310.54 m. *T.*

albacares is often found in fishing lines close to the surface. Mainly this species is generally found above 100 m deep layers which have sufficient oxygen content. In the deeper layers where oxygen levels are low, *T. albacares* individuals are rare, while juvenile *T. albacares* can be found clustered with *K. pelamis* and *T. obesus* in the surface layer. When they are mature, they tend to stay in this water layer. The distribution of *T. albacares* is in the temperature range of 18–31°C (Block & Stevens 2001).

T. maccoyii was caught in a quantity of 7 individuals and all of them was caught on branch line 2, 3, and 4 but mostly found on branch line number 2 amounting to 42.85%. Tuna which has a large body size has a spreading area with temperatures between 5-20ºC and can be found at depths of up to 1,000 m. This high adaptation behavior to extreme temperatures is due to the fact that *T. maccoyii* can raise its blood temperature above water temperature using its muscle activity (Block & Stevens 2001). *T. maccoyii* caught in the present study were suspected to be spawning individuals.

Figure 9 is an illustration of the swimming depth layer of tuna from the results of the present study. It can be seen the difference in the depth of the swimming layer between the four species of tuna captured. The difference in the vertical distribution of tuna is caused by several factors, one of which is temperature (Pranata 2013). According to the results of research by Nugraha & Triharyuni (2009), in the Indian Ocean *T. obesus* was caught in the temperature range of 10.0-13.9ºC, *T. albacares* at 16.0-16.9ºC, and *T. alalunga* at 20.0-20.9ºC. In addition, differences in location or geographic location also affect the habitat of tuna.



Figure 9. Tuna swimming layer illustration.

Several previous research results also showed differences in the depth of the swimming layer of each type of tuna captured in the Indian Ocean waters. The results of Santoso (1999) research show that *T. obesus* can be found at a depth of 186-285 m, *T. albacares* at 149-185 m, and *T. alalunga* at a depth of 161-220 m. Nugraha & Triharyuni (2009) reported that *T. obesus* was caught at a depth of 300-399.9 m, *T. albacares* at 250.0-299.9 m, and *T. alalunga* at 150.0-199.9 m.

Figure 9 illustrates the overall depth rage of the tuna's swimming layer. *T. alalunga* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. obesus* was caught at the depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. albacares* was caught at the depth range of 41–327.48 m and *T. maccoyii* was caught at the depth rage of 189–0 310.54 m.

Conclusions

1. The operation of tuna fishing consists of two processes, namely in setting and hauling. The average setting time was around 5 hours depending on the catch quantity. Hauling was performed from 17.00 until early morning.
2. Overall catches consisted of *T. obesus*, *T. albacares*, *T. alalunga*, and *T. maccoyii*. The average hook rate was 0.18% with the highest hook rate at setting 64, with a hook rate of 0.79%.
3. Concerning the tuna swimming layer, *T. alalunga* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. obesus* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m, *T. albacares* was caught at a depth range of 41–327.48 m and *T. maccoyii* was caught at a depth range of 189 - 310.54 m.
4. The main catch obtained in the present study consisted of 85 *T. obesus*, 45 *T. alalunga*, 23 *T. albacares* (15%), and 7 (4%) *T. maccoyii*.

References

Ayodhyoa A. U., 1981 Fishing methods. Dewi Sri Foundation, Bogor, Indonesia, 97 p.

Barata A., Novianto D., Bahtiar A., 2011 Distribution of tuna based on temperature and depth in the Indian Ocean. Indonesian Marine Science Journal, Diponegoro University, Semarang, 16(3):165-170.

Baskoro M. S., Wahyu R. I. 2004 Fish migration and distribution. Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor, Indonesia, 152 p.

Beverly, S., Chapman, L., Sokimi W., 2003 Horizontal longline fishing methods and techniques: a manual for fisherman. Multiprees, Noumea, New Caledonia, 129 p.

Block B. A., Stevens E. D., 2001 Tuna: physiology, ecology, and evolution. Academic Press, California, US, 468 p.

Djatikusumo E W. 1977. Biology of Economic Important Fish. Fishery Business Academy. Jakarta. 30-32 p.

Nakamura H., 1969 Tuna distribution and migration. Fishing News Book Ltd, London.

Novianto D., Nugraha B., 2014 Catch composition of by-catch and target species on tuna longline fisheries in Eastern Indian Ocean. Marine Fisheries 5(2):119-127.

Nugraha B., Setyadji B., 2013 Longline tuna bycatch management policy in the Indian Ocean. Journal of Indonesian Fisheries Policy 5(2):67-71.

Nugraha B., Wahju R. I., Sondita M. F. A., Zulkarnain Z., 2010 Estimation of the depth of a longline tuna fishing line in the Indian Ocean: The Yoshihara and Minilog Method. Journal of Indonesian Fisheries Policy 176(3):195-203.

Nugraha B., Trihayuni S., 2009 The influence of the depth temperature of the long line tuna fishing rod on tuna catches in the Indian Ocean. Capture Fisheries Research Center, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Nurjanah, Abdullah A., Kustyariyah, 2014 Knowledge and characteristics of fishery product raw materials. IPB Press, Bogor, Indonesia, 72 p.

Pranata S. A., 2013 Swimming layer depth of tuna (*Thunnus* sp.) captured by tuna long-line in the Indian Ocean. IPB Bogor, Bogor, Indonesia, 52 p.

Reddy M. P. M., 1993 Influence of the various oceanographic parameters on the abundance of fish catch. Proceeding of International workshop on Apllication of Satellite Remote Sensing for Identifying and Forecasting Potential Fishing Zones in Developing Countries, India, pp. 7-11.

Santoso H., 1995 The effect of different types of bait on tuna catch results. Thesis, Department of Fishery Resources Utilization. Faculty of Fisheries, Bogor Agriculture Institute, Bogor, Indonesia, 52 p.

Santoso H., 1999 A study on the relationship between temperature and depth of the fishing line on longline tuna catches in the Southern waters of Java Island. Thesis, (unpublished), Graduate program. Bogor Agricultural Institute. Bogor. 141 p.

Sedana M. I. G., 2004 Fishing season in Indonesia. Marine Fisheries Research Institute, DKP, Jakarta, Indonesia, 116 p.

Sjarif B., Mulyadi E., 2004 Technical guidelines for the identification of capture fisheries facilities: Longline Tuna ~~(Longline Tuna).~~ BPPI, Semarang, Indonesia, 35 p.

Subani W., Barus H. R., 1989 Fishing tools for fish and sea shrimp in Indonesia. Marine Fisheries Training Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Jakarta, Indonesia, 248 p.

Yoshihara T., 1951 Distribution of fishes caught by the long line. II. Vertical distribution. Bulletin of the Japanese Society of Scientific Fisheries 16:370–374.

*** https://ikantunaku.wordpress.com

Received: 23 September 2020. Accepted: xxxx 2020. Published online: 2020.

Authors:

Bongbongan Kusmedy, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: bkhutapea@gmail.com

Jerry Hutajulu, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: jerryhutajulu15@gmail.com

Eddy Sugriwa Husen, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: sugriwastp@gmail.com

Heru Santoso, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: herustppsm15@gmail.com

Hari Prayitno, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: hariprayitno46@gmail.com

Rahmat Mualim, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: rahmatmuallim@gmail.com

Maman Hermawan, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: mhermawan60@gmail.com

Tonny Efijanto Kusumo, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: susilobagaswibisono@gmail.com

Erick Nugraha, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: nugraha_eriq1@yahoo.co.id

Aldhy Oktavildy, Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Faculty of Fishing Technology, Indonesia, South Jakarta, Jl. AUP Pasar Minggu, e-mail: oktavildy@gmail.com

← Kembali | Arsipkan | Pindahkan | Hapus | Spam | •••

erick nugraha ...edited 4th journal (study of Tunas ....)... Sel, 5 Jan 2021 jam 06.50

Miklos Botha <miklosbotha@yahoo.com>
Kepada: erick nugraha

Sen, 11 Jan 2021 jam 17.10

Dear Eric Nugraha,

I think I just missed to announce you about the publishing date of the 4th submitted paper, which actually was published as 3th, because if was finished before the 4th submitted. Anyway please find your paper published at the following link:
http://www.bioflux.com.ro/docs/2020.3482-3492.pdf

Thank you for publishing with us!

Kind regards,
Editor AACL Bioflux
Senior Researcher
Miklos Botha, PhD.